VERSION NO: 00 REVISION NO: 01



# **ENGINEERING ACCREDITATION COMMITTEE**

# ENGINEERING ACCREDITATION STANDARD EAC/STD/01

	NAME	DESIGNATION	DATE	SIGNATURE
Approved by:	Eng. Margaret	CEO	6.8.2024	anto:

AUGUST, 2024

VERSION NO: 00 REVISION NO: 01

Document Title: Engineering Accreditation Standard				
Document Level: Standard	Reference No: EAC/STD/01	Revision No.:	Page:	do
Approving Officer: Registrar/CEO	Effective Date: 1ST A	ENGINEERS BOARD OF KENY		

# VERSION:

Document Title: Engineering Accreditation Standard

Document Level: Standard

Reference No: EAC/STD/01

Revision No.: 01

# Notes on the period for which this Standard takes effect:

1. Accreditation is accorded based on graduation years for students, not intake years.

- 2. Any new provision or any change to any existing provision in the Standard will take effect on .... and will be effective for all student cohorts from year 1 to year 5.
- 3. Where programmes require time to adapt to any change, EAC will allow adequate time for a reasonable transition to take place as justified by the programme.
- 4. In continually improving the Standards, the intention of EAC is to accord the benefits to all students as soon as practically possible.

Document Title: Engineering Accreditation	Postario de la companya del companya del companya de la companya d		-	1
Document Level:	Reference No:	Revision No.:	Page:	
Standard	EAC/STD/01	01	ii	<b>GO</b> (
Approving Officer: Registrar/CEO	Effective Date: 1ST A	ENGINEERS BOARD OF KENYA		

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

VERSION:	
ACKNOWL	EDGEMENTSv
ACRONYM	Svi
GENERAL	viii
FOREWOR	Dxi
PREAMBLE	<u> </u>
CHAPTER	ONE 1
1.0 ENG	SINEERING ACCREDITATION STANDARD
1.1 In	troduction
1.2	EBK Vision and Mission
1.3	Accreditation Objective
1.4	Legal Basis
CHAPTER 7	TWO4
2.0 ACC	REDITATION POLICY
2.1	Introduction
2.2	General Rules on Accreditation
2.4 Pr	rocedures of Accreditation of Programs
CHAPTER	THREE
3.0 QUA	LIFYING REQUIREMENTS AND ACCREDITATION CRITERIA17
3.1	Qualifying Requirements
3.2	Accreditation Criteria
CHAPTER F	FOUR38
4.0 ACC	REDITATION DOCUMENTS

Document Title: Engineering Accreditation Standard				
Document Level: Standard	Reference No: EAC/STD/01	Revision No.: <b>01</b>	Page: iii	ENGINEERS BOARD OF KENYA
Approving Officer: Registrar/CEO	Effective Date: 1 <sup>ST</sup> AUGUST,2024		The state of the s	

4.1 New Programme (First-cycle) or New-cycle Accreditation or Approval of New
Programme
4.2 Limited Term Accreditation/ Interim Accreditation
4.3 Self-Assessment Report
4.4 Other Relevant Information
4.5 Supporting Material Document - Digital Format
LIST OF APPENDICES
APPENDIX A: ENGINEERING CONTENT FOR THE MAIN BRANCHES AND INNOVATIVE PROGRAMMES
APPENDIX B: CHECKLIST OF DOCUMENTS FOR ACCREDITATION AND RELEVANT INFORMATION
APPENDIX C:
Evaluation Panel Report
Appendix D: External Examiner/Advisor Report107
Appendix E: Process Flow Chart for Application of Accreditation And Approval Of Engineering Programmes
APPENDIX F: SAMPLE TABLE TEMPLATES FOR SELF-ASSESSMENT REPORT (SAR)110
TABLE 1 Course to PO Matrix (SAMPLE)
TABLE 2 Distribution of Engineering Courses for an Engineering Programme (SAMPLE) 111
TABLE 3 List of Elective Courses according to Areas of Field of Specialization (if applicable) 113
TABLE 4 Distribution of General Education Courses for an Engineering Programme (SAMPLE) 114
TABLE 5: Courses Offered (Programme Structure) According to Semester and Total  Credits (SAMPLE)

Document Title: Engineering Accreditation	1 👢			
Document Level:	Reference No:	Revision No.:	Page:	
Standard	EAC/STD/01	01	iv	GO:
Approving Officer: Registrar/CEO	Effective Date: 1ST A	ENGINEERS BOARD OF KENYA		

TABLE 6 (4) Years	Distribution of Student Enrolment for all Academic Years for the Past Four 117
TABLE 7	Entry Qualification of Final Year Students of the Current Year117
TABLE 8 and Inter-p	Breakdown in Terms of Numbers of Academic Staff (Fulltime, Part-Time rogramme) by Year for all Academic Years for the Past Five (5) Years 120
Table 9 Ana	alysis of All Academic Staff
TABLE 10	Academic Qualifications of Academic Staff123
TABLE 11 Bodies/Lea	Professional Qualifications and Membership in Professional arned Societies of Academic Staff
TABLE 12	Post Held by Academic Staff
TABLE 13	Academic Staff Teaching Workload Summary for the Current Semester 126
Table 14 A	nalysis of All Support Staff
TABLE 15	Post Held by Support Staff
TARLE 16	Staff: Student Ratio 128

Deciment Level	ment Title: Engineering Accreditation Standard					
Document Level:	Reference No:	Revision No.:	Page:			
Standard	EAC/STD/01	01	v	GO:		
Approving Officer: Registrar/CEO	Effective Date: 1 <sup>ST</sup> AUGUST,2024		ENGINEERS BOARD OF KENY			

# **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

The EAC would like to acknowledge the contributions of various individuals and organizations in drawing up this Standard, notably the Board of Engineers Malaysia (BEM) and Pakistan Engineering Council (PEC). Their service to the EAC is highly appreciated.

Document Title: Engineering Accreditati	4 1			
Document Level: Standard	Reference No: EAC/STD/01	Revision No.:	Page: vi	ENGINEERS BOARD OF KENYA
Approving Officer: Registrar/CEO	Effective Date: 1 <sup>ST</sup> AUGUST,2024			Entire my Professionalism

# **ACRONYMS**

AU - Accreditation Unit

BEM - Board of Engineers Malaysia

CQI - Continual Quality Improvement

CUE - Commission of University Education

EAC - Engineering Accreditation Committee "Committee"

EBK - Engineers Board of Kenya

IEK - The Institution of Engineers of Kenya

IHL - Institutions of Higher Learning (includes public or private universities)

KNQA - Kenya National Qualification Authority

MOE - Ministry of Education

OBE - Outcome Based Education

QMS - Quality Management System

SAR - Self Assessment Report

SLT - Student Learning Time

Document Title: Engineering Accreditation Standard				
Document Level: Standard	Reference No: EAC/STD/01	Revision No.:	Page: viii	
Approving Officer: Registrar/CEO	Effective Date: 1 <sup>ST</sup> AUGUST,2024			ENGI



# **GENERAL**

A panel of evaluators appointed by EAC to evaluate an engineering

programme for compliance with accreditation criteria. Evaluator A person appointed by EAC to evaluate application(s) for approval to conduct a new engineering degree programme or to evaluate a programme(s) for accreditation or to evaluate a continuing/interim accreditation. Accreditation A Board to consider appeals from an Institution of Higher Learning on Appeals Board any EAC decision. A person registered under Section 18 of the Engineers Act 2011 Graduate Engineer Professional A person registered under Section 16(a) of the Engineers Act 2011 Engineer Faculty/School/ The entity which is responsible for designing and conducting the Department programme to be accredited. The sequence of structured educational experience undertaken by Programme students leading to completion, on satisfactory assessment of

Degree

**Evaluation Panel** 

- Bachelor of engineering programme leading to engineering qualification in Kenya

Course

Subject offered in the programme.

performance.

Stakeholders

Parties having interests (direct or indirect) in the programme output,
 for example, employers, sponsors, lecturers and students.

Document Level: Standard			Reference No: EAC/STD/01	Revision No.: <b>01</b>	Page:	do
Approving Officer: Registrar,	/CEO		Effective Date: 1ST Al	UGUST,2024		ENGINEERS BOARD OF KI
Academic staff	-		onsible for teach leading to the awa			
Student	-	Anyone und	ertaking an underg	raduate programn	ne.	
Graduate	-	Anyone who	has been conferre	ed a degree.		
Support staff			nsible for supporting programme implen	5 1573 15	g and adı	ministrative
External Examiner/Advisor	-	professional e	vith high academingineer registered by the lemic programme a	ne Board appointed		
Industry Advisory Panel		regulatory	rofessionals from the bodies in related by the IHL for advisor	d fields that ex		
Approval	=	Permission for programme.	from the relevant a	uthorities to condu	ıct a nev	V

Accreditation with Interim condition (Provisional Accreditation)

Accredited

Programme

**Document Title: Engineering Accreditation Standard** 

A programme given some conditions to be fulfilled within a certain period which is shorter than the accorded accreditation period.

An engineering programme whose graduates are acceptable for

graduate registration with the EBK. This is accorded to a programme that satisfies the minimum standard for accreditation set by EAC.

Deferred Accreditation

This is the status given to a programme observed to have weaknesses. Such a programme is allowed to provide for corrective actions within a year from the date of deferment or as determined by EAC.

Declined Accreditation This is the status of a programme that fails to meet the minimum standard for accreditation. In such a case, a further application is

Document Title: Engineering Accreditation	on Standard			
Document Level: Standard	Reference No: EAC/STD/01	Revision No.:	Page:	do:
Approving Officer: Registrar/CEO	Effective Date: 1st A	UGUST,2024		ENGINEERS BOARD OF KENYA

not normally considered within the next one year.

Cessation/ Termination of Accreditation EAC reserves the right to cease/terminate the accreditation if there is non-compliance or breach of accreditation requirements after accreditation has been given.

Document Title: Engineering Accreditation	on Standard			
Document Level: Standard	Reference No: EAC/STD/01	Revision No.: <b>01</b>	Page: <b>xi</b>	d
Approving Officer: Registrar/CEO	Effective Date: 1st A	UGUST,2024		ENGINEERS BOA



# **FOREWORD**

There is a continuous need to improve the quality of Kenyan Engineering graduates and engineering works and services to make them competitive regionally and globally. The Engineers Board of Kenya has had a fervent desire to improve the quality of engineering graduates and has consequently been pursuing signatory status to the Washington Accord since 2019. The mandate of EBK is to regulate the practice of Engineering in all aspects and ramifications. At the education level, this is achievable through the regulation of academic curriculum standards.

As such, EBK's regulatory functions and its accreditation activities are important procedures of engaging other stakeholders towards improving the process of knowledge acquisition and value-addition in transforming students admitted into engineering programmes. In the realization of the above, EBK is determined to be an active participant in the rapid pace of globalization and emerging technologies, and for all Kenyan engineering graduates to meet the local employers' and international job market requirements in the various Engineering sectors. It was in light of this, that in 2019, EBK kickstarted the process to accede to Washington Accord. Based on this, the Board is in advanced stages to set up the Engineering Accreditation Committee to coordinate the accession process and develop the framework for Outcome-Based Education (OBE) in Kenyan Engineering Programmes. The Committee developed will ratify this standard, which outlines the policy, processes and criteria of accreditation.

EAC is ready to give the necessary support to all engineering programmes in implementing the OBE system. Henceforth, during accreditation visits EAC's trained and certified assessors will be expected to identify evidences of the judicious implementation of OBE accreditation procedure. This will be utilized to evaluate compliance with the criteria, policies and procedures to assess the state of compliance as either Full Accreditation, or flag the programme as Limited Accreditation with deficiencies or Decline Accreditation with weaknesses. This manual seeks to provide detailed guideline on accreditation based on OBE and the specific attributes such as knowledge, skills and attitudes, to be acquired by the graduates. It helps engineering programmes to meet the minimum

Document Title: Engineering Accreditation	on Standard		
Document Level: Standard	Reference No: EAC/STD/01	Revision No.:	Page:
Approving Officer: Registrar/CEO	Effective Date: 1st A	UGUST,2024	



standards stipulated in the EBK Benchmark Accreditation Standard (BMAS) for the accreditation of their existing or newly proposed programmes. Based on the forgoing, I strongly invite you to go through this standard, understand its contents and engage your colleagues towards analyses and syntheses that would eventually entrench the culture of high-quality teaching and learning processes in Kenyan engineering schools and faculties. Under such ethos, programmes would be looking forward to EBK Accreditation visits to affirm and commend their practices. Finally, EBK remains extremely grateful to her nominators – Board of Engineers Malaysia (BEM) and Pakistan Engineering Council (PEC) for their valuable feedback and useful guidance without which, this venture would have been much more difficult or impossible. We look forward to continuous mutually-beneficial interactions with all stakeholders.

Eng. Erastus K. Mwongera, CE, FIEK, CBS
Chairman
Engineers Board of Kenya
June 2024

Document Title: Engineering Accreditation	on Standard			1
Document Level: Standard	Reference No: EAC/STD/01	Revision No.:	Page: <b>xiii</b>	d
Approving Officer: Registrar/CEO	Effective Date: 1st A	UGUST,2024		ENGINEERS BO



# **PREAMBLE**

The Engineers Board of Kenya (EBK) is mandated to regulate the practice of Engineering in all aspects and ramifications. At the education level, EBK determines the academic standards of courses and accredits programmes to be offered by institutions training Engineering Graduates. The objective has been to recognize and acknowledge the value added in transforming students admitted into engineering programmes into capable engineering professionals with sound knowledge of the fundamentals, an acceptable level of professional skills and personal competence for ready employability in the national economy.

Today, after almost 60 years of existence (after succeeding Engineering Registration Board, ERB), EBK is faced with some existential realities that make it necessary to change the paradigm of the Kenyan engineering education system. Firstly, there is expansion of engineering programmes in variety and number at various engineering faculties and schools. This makes it necessary for EBK to strengthen its accreditation system. Secondly, the rapid pace of globalization and emerging technologies, make it necessary for engineering faculties and schools to meet the requirements of local employers and international job markets in the Engineering and Technology. EBK needs to take a leading role in regulating the processes that lead to local and international recognition of engineering qualifications from higher educational institutions in Kenya. Such mutual recognition is expected to improve the quality, proficiency and mobility of Kenyan engineers.

This standard has been developed to guide engineering programmes in planning, developing, implementing, reviewing the OBE system and its continual quality improvements. Such practices make the EBK accreditation process elaborate, objective and comprehensible. The four key areas are:

- i) Accreditation Policy,
- ii) Accreditation Process,
- iii) Criteria for Accreditation and

Document Title: Engineering Accreditation	on Standard			
Document Level: Standard	Reference No: EAC/STD/01	Revision No.:	Page:	do
Approving Officer: Registrar/CEO	Effective Date: 1ST A	UGUST,2024		ENGINEERS BOARD OF KEI

iv) Template for EBK Self-Assessment Report.

There are also descriptions of the necessary accompanying documents in the annexes.

To meet the requirement of OBE, the accreditation procedures are now based on six basic criteria:

- (i) Criterion 1 Programme Design
- (ii) Criterion 2 Programme Curriculum Content
- (iii) Criterion 3 Faculty Staff Establishment
- (iv) Criterion 4 Institutions Training Facility and Infrastructure
- (v) Criterion 5 Training Duration
- (vi) Criterion 6 Quality Assurance.

During the accreditation visits, EAC's trained assessors will be expected to identify evidences of substantial compliance with the stipulated criteria. EAC has the final decision on the status of the programme.

This Standard provides detailed guidelines on OBE based accreditation exercise. It highlights the specific attributes useful to meet the minimum standards for the accreditation of existing or newly proposed engineering programmes.

I sincerely appreciate the concerted effort of all those who worked tirelessly to ensure the achievement of this goal.

Eng. Margaret Ogai, CE Registrar/CEO Engineers Board of Kenya

Document Title: Engineering Accreditation	on Standard			
Document Level: Standard	Reference No: EAC/STD/01	Revision No.:	Page:	do:
Approving Officer: Registrar/CEO	Effective Date: 1ST A	UGUST,2024		ENGINEERS BOARD OF KENYA

# **CHAPTER ONE**

# 1.0 ENGINEERING ACCREDITATION STANDARD

# 1.1 Introduction

The Engineers Board of Kenya (EBK) registers graduate, professional, consulting engineers and consulting engineering firms under the Engineers Act, 2011. The prerequisite for registration as a graduate engineer is a qualification in engineering recognized by the EBK.

A student undertaking an engineering degree course in Kenya aims to become a Professional Engineer (PE), a title conferred by section 47 of the Engineers Act 2011. The requirements for conferment of the title include among other requirements: -

- Registration as a graduate engineer having received a degree in engineering from a university recognized by the Board.
- ii) Obtained practical experience as prescribed under the Act;

A sound and wholesome engineering education forms the foundation of a competent professional engineer, consequently EBK bears the responsibility of ensuring that the quality of engineering programmes offered by the universities attains the minimum standard comparable to global practice. This underscores the importance of accrediting engineering programmes offered at the universities.

The Engineering Accreditation Committee (EAC) is the body delegated by EBK for accreditation of engineering degrees in accordance with section 9 of the Engineers Act 2011. The EAC is made of representatives from EBK, The Institution of Engineers of Kenya (IEK), Association of Consulting Engineers (ACEK), Council of Engineering Deans & Principals, Ministry of Education, the Kenya Association of Manufacturers.

This Standard outlines details for accreditation of an engineering programme in Kenya. It serves to enable universities to meet the minimum standard stipulated for the accreditation of their existing

Document Title: Engineering Accreditation	n Standard		
Document Level: Standard	Reference No: EAC/STD/01	Revision No.:	Page:
Approving Officer: Registrar/CEO	Effective Date: 1ST A	UGUST,2024	1



engineering programmes or newly proposed programmes.

This Standard includes elements of outcomes in the engineering curriculum to ensure a Continual Quality Improvement (CQI) culture in the spirit of Outcome- Based Education (OBE).

# 1.2 EBK Vision and Mission

# 1.2.1 Vision

Safe and sustainable engineering infrastructure.

# 1.2.2 Mission

To ensure production of globally competitive engineers and quality engineering services through regulation, capacity building and enforcing compliance with set engineering standards to meet the current and future needs of society.

# 1.3 Accreditation Objective

Accreditation is a system by which an academic programme is assessed against specific criteria, which, if it is found to meet or exceed, becomes certified as accredited. It is a quality control measure based on the applied criteria which reflect the desired standard to be met.

The Broad objective of accreditation is to ensure that graduates of the accredited engineering programmes meet the minimum academic requirements for registration as a graduate engineer with the EBK.

The specific objectives for conducting accreditation are: -

- To establish the standard of knowledge and skill that are to be attained by persons seeking to become registered engineers and to review those standards from time to time as circumstances may permit;
- ii) To ensure that graduates of engineering programmes accredited by EAC are adequately 2 | Page

Document Title: Engineering Accreditation	n Standard			
Document Level: Standard	Reference No: EAC/STD/01	Revision No.:	Page:	C
Approving Officer: Registrar/CEO	Effective Date: 1st A	UGUST,2024		ENGINEERS

prepared academically and possess the necessary skills to pursue productive and successful careers as professional engineers;

- iii) To help parents, students and the general public to identify engineering programmes offered in various higher educational institutions that meet the standard of EAC in complying with accreditation criteria;
- iv) To encourage higher educational institutions in Kenya, to improve their training of engineers through the implementation of Continual Quality Improvement (CQI) which is an important requirement in Outcome-based Education accreditation system;
- To provide standards for upgrading existing engineering programmes and establishment of new programmes in higher educational institutions in Kenya; and
- vi) To formulate from the outcomes of accreditation visitations an industrial training policy which will form the basis of legislation by the Kenyan Government to ensure meaningful industrial training of engineering students

# 1.4 Legal Basis

The accreditation of engineering programmes is underpinned in the Engineers Act 2011 and the Engineers Rules 2019:

- i) Section 7(1)(i) and (l) empowers the Board to;
  - evaluate other engineering programmes both local and foreign for recognition by the Board;
  - approve and accredit engineering programmes in public and private universities and other tertiary level educational institutions offering education in engineering;
- ii) Section 9 provide for the Board by resolution either generally or in any particular case, delegate to any committee of the Board or to any member, officer, employee or an agent of the Board, the exercise of any of the functions or duties of the Board under the Act.
- iii) The Fourth Schedule of the Engineers Rules 2019

Document Title: Engineering Accreditation	on Standard			
Document Level: Standard	Reference No: EAC/STD/01	Revision No.: <b>01</b>	Page:	d
Approving Officer: Registrar/CEO	Effective Date: 1 <sup>ST</sup> A	UGUST,2024		ENGINEERS BOARD

# **CHAPTER TWO**

# 2.0 ACCREDITATION POLICY

# 2.1 Introduction

This section outlines the EAC's accreditation policy underlying the accreditation process. Accreditation shall be considered upon a written request from the IHL.

# 2.2 General Rules on Accreditation

Accreditation applies to an individual programme that leads to a baccalaureate degree. Programmes will be considered for accreditation if they are offered by an institution of higher learning that is either a public or a private university with a charter granted by the President of the Republic of Kenya as per Section 19(1)(a) of the Universities Act, 2012.

For purposes of accreditation, a programme is characterized by a curriculum that is regarded as an entity by the institution and that can be considered independently. All options in the programme are examined. Following the principle that a programme is only as strong as its "weakest link," the programme is accredited only if all options meet the established criteria.

To be accredited a programme must include the word "engineering" in its title and the title must be properly descriptive of the curriculum content. If a programme, by virtue of its title, becomes subject to the accreditation requirements for two or more programmes other than the programme named, the EAC must have evidence that the programme name is appropriate for all students graduating in the programme regardless of the option taken. Furthermore, the EAC must have evidence that all options contain a significant amount of the curriculum content.

Any change in the title of an accredited programme requires approval by the EAC for that programme's continued accreditation.

The institution must verify that the EAC programme curriculum content criteria are met by all

Document Title: Engineering Accreditation	on Standard			
Document Level: Standard	Reference No: EAC/STD/01	Revision No.:	Page: <b>5</b>	d
Approving Officer: Registrar/CEO	Effective Date: 1st A	UGUST,2024		ENGINEERS BOA

students. The institution must also verify that students have demonstrated competence in the courses taken to satisfy the criteria. It is the responsibility of each institution to provide an acceptance definition of competence.

Any significant change in an accredited programme must be reported to the EAC. Any change which alters the circumstances under which the programme was accredited may necessitate an immediate assessment. The evaluation of the programme is based on detailed data provided by the institution and on the collective opinion of the members (of the visiting team, if necessary). The accreditation decision is made by the EAC based on qualitative and quantitative considerations.

The EAC shall determine the accreditation category of each academic engineering programme. Accreditation of a programme is granted for a specific term, the maximum being five years. Institutions shall be required to give one years' notice before the expiry of five years. The accreditation term shall run from the date of issue of the accreditation certificate. The term of accreditation is subject to review for cause at any time. The EAC may grant accreditation for less than five years where the term of accreditation of the programme is intended to coincide with the term of accreditation of other programmes at the institution or where the EAC considers that there are areas of concern.

The EAC may at any time, audit and review accreditation status of the programmes offered by engineering training institutions. The EAC may withdraw or discontinue accreditation where an institution fails to comply with terms of accreditation. An institution whose accreditation has been withdrawn or discontinued shall no longer refer the programme as being accredited.

Changes in an accredited programme which violate the conditions under which accreditation was granted by the EAC may lead to an immediate reassessment of the programme and/or termination of accreditation. Such changes include but are not limited to changes in; Programme design, Student admission criteria, faculty establishment, facilities and curriculum. Accreditation is granted if the EAC judges that, at the time of the decision, the programme meets the published EAC criteria.

Document Title: Engineering Accreditation	on Standard			1
Document Level: Standard	Reference No: EAC/STD/01	Revision No.:	Page:	d
Approving Officer: Registrar/CEO	Effective Date: 1ST A	UGUST,2024	1	ENGINEERS BOA



# 2.2.1 The Accreditation Process

Accreditation of engineering programmes is undertaken by the EAC at the request of the IHL. The EAC's accreditation process will focus on outcomes and the internal systems developed by the IHL to ensure that the graduates are adequately prepared to enter the engineering profession. The process also involves determining the effectiveness of the quality assurance systems and procedures that ensure graduates are adequately prepared to practice engineering.

# 2.2.2 The Accreditation Cycle

Accreditation is accorded to a programme for a maximum period of Five (5) years per cycle. Accreditation is accorded on a forward-based full programme cycle basis, specifying the years following and including the year an approval is given

# 2.2.3 Programmes

An IHL may offer programme/s via various pathways at the main campus. It is advisable that the various pathways are disclosed in the degree certificate or academic transcripts. For each of the pathways, the IHL shall apply for accreditation separately.

If the different pathways for the programmes from the same IHL that bear the same name are not disclosed on the degree certificate or academic transcripts, a single accreditation decision applies to all pathways, i.e. the accreditation decision of one pathway will affect the other pathways. A programme shall be evaluated based on the criteria stipulated in Chapter 3 and expounded in Appendix B in this standard.

# 2.4 Procedures of Accreditation of Programs

# 2.4.1 Submission of Accreditation Application

An institution seeking accreditation of a programme shall submit to EAC a Request for Accreditation (RFA). The RFA must be signed by the institution's Chief Executive Officer (Vice Chancellor) and must

Document Title: Engineering Accreditation	n Standard			
Document Level: Standard	Reference No: EAC/STD/01	Revision No.: <b>01</b>	Page:	do:
Approving Officer: Registrar/CEO	Effective Date: 1ST A	UGUST,2024		ENGINEERS BOARD OF KENY

# be accompanied by:

- Details of the proposed programme including a detailed presentation on the objectives and relevance of the proposed programme and the proposed curriculum.
- b) Details of faculty members including Detailed recent curriculum vitae (C.V) and certified copies of their certificates and testimonials.
- Details of the physical training infrastructure, laboratories, workshops, libraries, lecture halls etc.
- d) Proof of payment for the individual programme at the prescribed fees. The institution will receive an invoice for fees associated with the accreditation. Payment is due 45 days from date of the invoice.

All submissions and correspondences to the EAC shall be in English.

An institution shall submit an application for accreditation of a programme not less than six months prior to the launch of a programme to allow adequate time for evaluation of submissions and accreditation visits.

The following gives the various types of programmes accreditation, and the deadlines for applications:

- a) New programme (first-cycle) accreditation: at least six (6) months before the final examination of the first intake of students.
- b) New-cycle accreditation of accredited programme: at least six (6) months before the expiry date of the accreditation.
- c) Interim or continuing accreditation: at least six (6) months before the expiry date of the accreditation or interim period.
- d) Deferred accreditation: latest one (1) year after deferment decision.
- e) Declined accreditation. Not less than one (1) year after declined decision.

Document Title: Engineering Accreditation	n Standard			
Document Level: Standard	Reference No: EAC/STD/01	Revision No.: <b>01</b>	Page:	d
Approving Officer: Registrar/CEO	Effective Date: 1st A	UGUST,2024		ENGINEERS BOARD



The EAC upon receiving the application by the IHL will decide on the dates of the accreditation visit. Once the visit dates have been fixed, the programme is given three (3) months prior to the visit to submit the necessary accreditation documentations as specified in Chapter 4 of this Standard.

The application will be deemed to have been withdrawn, if the documents are not submitted latest three (3) months before the set dates for the visit. The cut-off period for submission of application for programme accreditation by IHL is twelve (12) months beyond the year of graduation for any cohort,

if the graduates are to be included in the accreditation decision. Failure to abide with the deadlines may result in delay or rejection of graduates' registration with EAC.

### 2.4.2 **Evaluation of Submissions**

The EAC shall carry out preliminary examination of the submitted documents to establish that:

- a) The submissions are complete in all respects.
- b) The submissions meet the minimum requirements with regards to programme curriculum content and faculty staff establishment.

The EAC shall communicate to the applying institution on the sufficiency or otherwise of the submissions. If the required information is not provided within a period of three (3) months, it shall be deemed that the IHL no longer intends to conduct the programme.

### 2.4.3 Initiation and Timing of Accreditation Visit

An accreditation visit to assess or reassess an engineering programme shall be conducted after the EAC has certified that an institution's submissions are complete and responsive to the EAC's accreditation criteria.

Document Title: Engineering Accreditation	n Standard			
Document Level: Standard	Reference No: EAC/STD/01	Revision No.: <b>01</b>	Page:	do:
Approving Officer: Registrar/CEO	Effective Date: 1ST A	UGUST,2024		ENGINEERS BOARD OF KENYA

# 2.4.4 Selection of Visiting Team

The evaluation exercise shall be conducted by three (3) Evaluators per programme, selected from industry and academia so as to provide balanced perspective and experience to the assessment of a programme. (both for new cycle accreditation or reaccreditation).

On submission of all required documents, an Evaluation Panel shall be appointed by the EAC. Members of the Evaluation Panel are selected based on their expertise and standing in the particular discipline.

The Evaluation Panel needs to be aware of EAC policies and accreditation criteria as outlined in this Standard. The Evaluation Panel will assess the programme based on the accreditation criteria set forth in this Standard.

The assessment includes the auditing and confirmation of documents submitted by the IHL. The Guidelines for Evaluators are useful tools for ensuring that every important aspect of a degree programme and its delivery are assessed and reported on.

Where a member of the visiting team has an interest in the institution applying for accreditation, that member shall disclose the fact and shall not take part forthwith in the visit, provided that if the majority of the members present are of the opinion that the experience or expertise of such member is vital to the deliberations of the meeting, the visiting team may permit the member to participate in the deliberations subject to such restrictions as it may impose.

The Institution shall be notified of the visiting team. A request for a replacement on the visiting team may be made by the institution only for good cause.

Document Title: Engineering Accreditation	on Standard		
Document Level: Standard	Reference No: EAC/STD/01	Revision No.: <b>01</b>	Page:
Approving Officer: Registrar/CEO	Effective Date: 1st A	UGUST,2024	

# 2.4.5 Preparation for Accreditation Visit

Two (2) months before the date of an accreditation visit, the Committee Secretariat shall send to the institution documentation required for the visit. This documentation shall include:

- a) a questionnaire to be completed by the institution,
- b) details regarding procedures to be followed before, during and after the visit,
- c) documentation required by the visiting team and the and a schedule of the events for the entire process which concludes with the EAC's accreditation decision report to the institution.

Copies of the questionnaire, with supporting documentation, completed by the institution must be received by each visiting team member and the Committee Secretariat at least five weeks (5) before the visit. If adequate documentation is not received as required, the Committee in consultation with the visiting team chair, shall cancel the visit.

The Evaluation Panel for a programme should meet at least **once** (either virtual or physical) upon receiving the accreditation documents, and again on the evening of Day1 before the actual accreditation visit in order to study and discuss documents, and systematically identify and agree on any shortcomings prior to the visit. The Evaluation Panel should strategically plan and/or put in request for supplementary input or Request for Information (RFI) or Request for Clarification (RFC) from the IHL to fill the gaps before the visit. This request for further information required should be communicated to the IHL through the EAC.

# 2.4.6 Accreditation Visit

The accreditation visit will normally be scheduled for a period of two (2) days for new programme/new cycle/revisit (in deferment case), or one (1) day for continuing/interim visit. The overall conduct of the visit shall be managed by the EAC. The visit shall normally include but not limited to the following:

i) Opening meeting with the IHL Management, Dean of Engineering and the Chairs of the Departments responsible for the programme(s)

Document Title: Engineering Accreditation	on Standard			
Document Level: Standard	Reference No: EAC/STD/01	Revision No.: <b>01</b>	Page:	d
Approving Officer: Registrar/CEO	Effective Date: 1 <sup>ST</sup> AUGUST,2024		ENGINEERS BO	

- ii) Meeting with faculty staff members.
- iii) Meeting with students.
- iv) Meeting with external stakeholders such as alumni, employers, and industry advisors.
- v) Visiting and checking of facilities.
- vi) Checking relevant documents.
- vii) Exit meeting with IHL Management.
- viii) Meetings with all stakeholders are important as this would give an indication of their involvement in the CQI process of the programme.
  - ix) A review of recent examination papers, laboratory instruction sheets, student transcripts (anonymous, if necessary), student reports and theses, models or equipment constructed by students and other evidence of student performance; and
  - x) Evidence of Research undertaken by Academic Staff.

# 2.4.7 Report and Accreditation Decision

The report shall be prepared by evaluators (for new programme and re-accreditation) and shall be submitted to the EAC within four (4) weeks after the visit. The accreditation decision shall be made by the Committee based on the result and information gained from the accreditation visit process or from the reports submitted by the institution at the request of the Committee.

In arriving at an accreditation decision following the visit, the Committee shall consider the accreditation history, the information included in the completed questionnaire, the visiting report, the institution's response to the visiting team report, any further clarifying correspondence and any other relevant information.

The Committee shall make a decision on the application for the accreditation of a programme:

Document Title: Engineering Accreditation	n Standard		
Document Level: Standard	Reference No: EAC/STD/01	Revision No.:	Page: <b>12</b>
Approving Officer: Registrar/CEO	Effective Date: 1ST A	UGUST,2024	V.



- i) The Committee may grant full accreditation for a period not exceeding five (5) years.
- ii) In the case where, in the assessment of the Committee, there are areas requiring improvement, but do not adversely compromise the overall quality of the training, the Committee may grant a limited-term accreditation (not exceeding two years) provided that the institution submits an acceptable implementation programme addressing the shortcomings. The limited accreditation may be extended (to a maximum of five years) subject to receipt of a report which convinces the EAC that the matters giving rise to its concern have been resolved adequately. After reviewing the report, the EAC may extend the limited accreditation or may issue a Notice of Termination of Accreditation.
- iii) The Committee may also decline to grant accreditation to a programme where in its determination, there is significant deficiency in the capacity of an institution to offer the engineering programme.

# 2.4.8 Communication of accreditation decision

Following the Committee accreditation decision, the institution shall be notified of the decision through the Dean and the Vice Chancellor. The Dean shall be provided with a comprehensive explanation for it. The institution will be expected to inform students and staff of the process of accreditation and of the accreditation status of the programme.

# 2.4.9 Notice of Termination of accreditation

If the Committee determines that significant weaknesses exist in a currently accredited programme, a Notice of Termination of Accreditation shall be issued within three (3) months of that determination. The Notice of Termination of Accreditation shall be copied to the Ministry of Education, Science and Technology, the Commission of University Education and the Kenya Universities and Colleges Central Placement Service.

A Notice of the Termination of Accreditation shall specify that the accreditation of the programme is

Document Title: Engineering Accreditation	n Standard		
Document Level: Standard	Reference No: EAC/STD/01	Revision No.: <b>01</b>	Page:
Approving Officer: Registrar/CEO	Effective Date: 1st A	UGUST,2024	



extended for a maximum of three years at which time the accreditation is terminated unless the EAC determines, before that date, that the matters giving rise to its concerns have been resolved adequately. To determine whether these matters have been resolved an accreditation visit and a report shall be presented to the EAC.

If the EAC determines that matters giving rise to its concerns have not been resolved adequately, the accreditation of the programme shall be terminated on the date specified in the Original Notice of Termination of Accreditation. If the EAC determines that the matters giving rise to its concerns have been resolved adequately, accreditation shall be extended for an appropriate period and no loss of accreditation will have occurred.

# 2.4.10 Request for an Early Revisit

In the event that an unaccredited programme is denied accreditation, the institution may submit a request for an early re-visit. This request, accompanied by a description of positive changes that have been implemented, must be received by the EAC Secretariat within 60 days of the notification to the institution of the accreditation action of the EAC. If the EAC is satisfied that positive changes of the substance have been made, a revisit will be scheduled immediately following the decision to deny accreditation. The Institution applying for a revisit shall bear all the costs associated with the visit.

# 2.4.11 Formal Review

In the event of a decision by the EAC to terminate the accreditation of a programme or to deny accreditation to an unaccredited programme, the institution may apply for a formal review of the EAC's decision. Copies of the document setting out these procedures shall be made available upon request.

# 2.4.12 Informal Evaluation or Visit

If requested by an institution, the EAC will assist to arrange for an informal visit to an unaccredited programme at an appropriate time in its development. The purpose of the evaluation or visit is to provide comment and advice to the institution with respect to the programme.

Document Title: Engineering Accreditation	n Standard		
Document Level: Standard	Reference No: EAC/STD/01	Revision No.:	Page: <b>14</b>
Approving Officer: Registrar/CEO	Effective Date: 1st A	UGUST,2024	



No undertaking is given by the EAC as to the eventual accreditation of the programme. A report is presented to the institution and no report is presented to the EAC. The cost of such an evaluation for the visit, including nominal compensation for the visitors or persons who are asked to carry out evaluation, is borne by the institution seeking accreditation of its programme(s).

Records and deliberations of the EAC are kept confidential. The list of accredited programmes maintained by the EAC includes only those programmes that have been accredited by the EAC, together with the effective dates. The list will be made available on request and will be published in the annual report of the EAC. Documents describing policies and procedures of the EAC will also be maintained by the EAC Secretariat and will be made available upon request.

The IHL shall make an application for programme accreditation as per the requirements Chapter 3 and 4 of this Standard to the EAC.

Appendix E shows the process flow chart on Application for Accreditation and Approval of Engineering Programmes. The accreditation visit shall be deferred if the submitted documents are of unacceptable quality, or do not follow the required format of chapter 4 of the Standard. In such a case, the IHL shall resubmit the application.

If the documents submitted follow the required format, but the contents are found to be inadequate, the IHL shall be required to provide further information, or clarification. If the IHL does not provide further information in good time for the visit, the EAC may cancel the visit.

# 2.4.13 Revisions to an Accredited Programme

The IHL shall update the EAC and CUE of major changes (such as, 30% or more of the curriculum, location, pathways, programme name or programme duration) that may impact an accredited programme. Failure to do so may cause the EAC to reconsider the accreditation decision awarded

Document Title: Engineering Accreditation	on Standard			
Document Level: Standard	Reference No: EAC/STD/01	Revision No.: <b>01</b>	Page: <b>15</b>	d
Approving Officer: Registrar/CEO	Effective Date: 1st A	UGUST,2024		ENGINEERS BOARD

earlier. The EAC may then direct the IHL to apply for re-accreditation of the revised programme.

# 2.4.14 Publication of Accreditation Status

EAC shall regularly update the list of accredited programmes on the website and use of public notices from time to time.

# 2.4.15 Appeal Procedures

The EAC decision is final. However, an IHL may appeal against a decision made by the EAC. The notice of appeal must be made in writing to the Accreditation Appeals Board within two (2) weeks upon receiving the decision, stating the basis of the appeal. Appeal documents are to be submitted within four (4) weeks after the above notice of appeal.

The Appeals Board shall consist of the Chairperson of EBK, Chairman Council of Engineering Deans and Principals and Chief Executive Officer of EBK and CUE or their nominated representatives. The Chairperson of EBK or the nominated representative shall be the Chairperson of the Appeals Board. If necessary, the Appeals Board may appoint a Special Committee, comprising members who are experienced in the accreditation process, to consider the appeal.

Any expenses incurred shall be borne by the IHL. The decision of the Appeals Board shall be forwarded to the IHL within three (3) months from the receipt of the complete documents. The decision of the Appeals Board shall be final.

# 2.4.16 Confidentiality

Documents or other information obtained by the Evaluation Panels and EAC members in connection with the accreditation exercise shall be treated as confidential.

# 2.4.17 Expenses

The IHL shall bear all costs incurred in carrying out activities related to the approval and accreditation of a programme.

Document Title: Engineering Accreditation	on Standard			
Document Level: Standard	Reference No: EAC/STD/01	Revision No.: <b>01</b>	Page: <b>16</b>	d
Approving Officer: Registrar/CEO	Effective Date: 1st A	UGUST,2024		ENGINEERS BOA



# 2.4.18 Conflict of Interest

Members of the EAC, Evaluators, Appeals Board and EAC staff are expected to be constantly aware of any conflict of interest. Members shall declare their interest or withdraw from any situation or activity that may constitute a conflict of interest.

Document Title: Engineering Accreditation	n Standard			
Document Level: Standard	Reference No: EAC/STD/01	Revision No.: <b>01</b>	Page: <b>17</b>	do:
Approving Officer: Registrar/CEO	Effective Date: 1 <sup>ST</sup> A	UGUST,2024		ENGINEERS BOARD OF KENYA

# **CHAPTER THREE**

# 3.0 QUALIFYING REQUIREMENTS AND ACCREDITATION CRITERIA

# 3.1 Qualifying Requirements

The assessment process will involve two parts:

- (i) Initial assessment of qualifying requirements.
- (ii) Detailed assessment of the programme based on the accreditation criteria.

The qualifying requirements are meant to screen out programmes that do not meet the core requirements of the assessment criteria. Failure to meet any one of the qualifying requirements will disqualify the programme from further assessment.

There are eight components of the qualifying requirements and each programme is expected to have all the components. These components are:

- Outcome-based Education (OBE) implementation.
- ii) A minimum of 3400AUs of which 840 AUs are required for Mathematics and Basic Sciences, 2100AUs are attributed to Engineering Sciences and Design (including final year project and industrial attachments) and at least 450AUs Complimentary Studies. The programme covers 5 academic years. Industrial training must cover a minimum of eight (8) weeks)
- iii) Integrated Design Project (IDP)
- iv) Final year project
- v) Industrial training (minimum of 8 weeks)
- vi) Full-time academic staff (minimum of eight (8) with at least three (3) Professional Engineers registered with the EBK
- vii) Staff: student ratio 1: 25 or better.
- viii) External examiner/advisor report. (one in every one academic year)

Document Title: Engineering Accreditation	n Standard		
Document Level: Standard	Reference No: EAC/STD/01	Revision No.: <b>01</b>	Page: <b>18</b>
Approving Officer: Registrar/CEO	Effective Date: 1ST A	UGUST,2024	

If the programme has met all the qualifying requirements, a detailed assessment of the programme based on the accreditation criteria as explained in the following sections will be carried out.

**Note**: Please refer to Guidelines for Evaluators for further elaboration of the expectation with regard to this section.

# 3.2 Accreditation Criteria

An engineering programme shall be assessed by the EAC to enable graduates of the programme to register as graduate engineers with the EBK. The assessment involves a review of qualifying requirements of the IHL and an evaluation based on the following criteria:

- i) Criterion 1 Programme Design
- ii) Criterion 2 Programme Curriculum Content
- iii) Criterion 3 Faculty Staff Establishment
- iv) Criterion 4 Institutions Training Facility and Infrastructure
- v) Criterion 5 Training Duration
- vi) Criterion 6 Quality Assurance

# 3.2.1 Criterion 1 – Programme Design

A programme should be intellectually credible, coherent and should meet national needs, needs of students and other stakeholders. The programme should maintain an appropriate balance of theoretical, practical and experiential knowledge and skills.

Emphasis is placed on qualitative evaluation of the programme and the overall environment in which the programme is presented.

Major importance is attached to the quality of the educational experience as reflected by the quality of the students, the faculty, the support staff, the administration, the laboratories, workshops, library, the computing facilities and other supporting facilities. The quality of the educational experience is also reflected by the morale and commitment of faculty, support staff and students.

Document Title: Engineering Accreditation	on Standard			<b>A</b>
Document Level: Standard	Reference No: EAC/STD/01	Revision No.: <b>01</b>	Page:	d
Approving Officer: Registrar/CEO	Effective Date: 1st A	UGUST,2024		ENGINEERS BOARD

The distinctive features, which determine if a course is accredited, are -

- appropriate depth and breadth of technical content, with emphasis on fundamentals and inclusion of relevant mathematics and engineering science;
- application of scientific and engineering principles to the solution of practical problems of engineering systems and processes;
- iii) an introduction to good engineering practice and the properties, behaviour, fabrication and use of relevant materials and components;
- iv) mandatory inclusion of design studies (including manufacturing, reliability, maintainability, quality assurance as well as economic aspects) as an expression of the practical application of theory and accumulated experience;
- v) emphasis on methods of practical problem solving using the latest technology;
- vi) technical decision making, its commercial and economic implementation; the ability to use technical information's services; knowledge of government regulations and labour management as well as other obligations of the engineer to his profession, community and the environment;
- vii) communication skills and the ability to seek further education and stay up to date with new developments; and significant industrial involvement.

The Programme's admissions criteria shall be in conformity with the Kenya Universities and Colleges Central Placement Service established by section 55(1) of the Universities Act 2012. The criteria and the qualifications shall be as follows:

Kenya Certificate of Secondary Education (KCSE): The Programmes are for direct entry of qualified Kenya Certificate of Secondary Education (KCSE) graduate. A KCSE candidate (as per KUCPPS requirements) should have obtained a minimum aggregate grade of C+, obtained at least a C+ in English/Kiswahili and a minimum C+ in each of the following subjects: Mathematics, Physics and Chemistry. The policy for admitting individual students with advanced standing must ensure that the foregoing requirements for curriculum content are satisfied.

Document Title: Engineering Accreditation	n Standard			1
Document Level: Standard	Reference No: EAC/STD/01	Revision No.: <b>01</b>	Page: <b>20</b>	d
Approving Officer: Registrar/CEO	Effective Date: 1 <sup>ST</sup> AUGUST,2024			ENGINEERS BO



# 3.2.1.1 Programme Educational Objectives

Programme Educational Objectives (PEOs) are specific statements/goals consistent with the mission and vision of the IHL, are responsive to the expressed interest of programme stakeholders, and describe the expected achievements of graduates in their career and professional life (3 to 5) years after graduation.

The PEOs must be considered in the design and review of the curriculum in a top-down approach. The programme shall publish and appropriately review the PEOs at the determined time, and ensure the PEOs are linked to the POs and considered for the curriculum delivery.

# 3.2.1.2 Programme Outcomes

Programme Outcomes describe what students are expected to know and be able to perform or attain by the time of graduation. These relate to the skills, knowledge, and behaviour that students acquire through the programme. Students of an engineering programme are expected to attain the following POs:

- Engineering Knowledge: Apply knowledge of mathematics, natural science, computing and engineering fundamentals, and an engineering specialization as specified in WK1 to WK4 respectively to develop solutions to complex engineering problems;
- Problem Analysis: Identify, formulate, research literature and analyze complex engineering problems reaching substantiated conclusions using first principles of mathematics, natural sciences and engineering sciences with holistic considerations for sustainable development (WK1 to WK4);
- Design/development of solutions: Design creative solutions for complex engineering problems and design systems, components or processes to meet identified needs with appropriate consideration for public health and safety, whole-life cost, net zero carbon as well as resource, cultural, societal, and environmental considerations as required (WK5)
- iv) Investigation: Conduct investigations of complex engineering problems using research

Document Title: Engineering Accreditation	on Standard			
Document Level: Standard	Reference No: EAC/STD/01	Revision No.: <b>01</b>	Page: <b>21</b>	d
Approving Officer: Registrar/CEO	Effective Date: 1st AUGUST,2024			ENGINEERS BO



methods including research-based knowledge, design of experiments, analysis and interpretation of data, and synthesis of information to provide valid conclusions (WK8)

- Modern Tool Usage Create, select and apply appropriate techniques, resources, and modern V) engineering and IT tools, including prediction and modelling, to complex engineering problems, (WK2 and WK 6);
- The Engineer and the World: When solving complex engineering problems, analyze and vi) evaluate sustainable development impacts to: society, the economy, sustainability, health and safety, legal frameworks, and the environment (WK1, WK5, and WK7)
- vii) Ethics: Apply ethical principles and commit to professional ethics and norms of engineering practice and adhere to relevant national and international laws. Demonstrate an understanding of the need for diversity and inclusion (WK9)
- viii) Individual and Collaborative Team work: Function effectively as an individual, and as a member or leader in diverse and inclusive teams and in multi-disciplinary, face-to-face, remote and distributed settings (WK9)
- ix) Communication: Communicate effectively and inclusively on complex engineering activities with the engineering community and with society at large, such as being able to comprehend and write effective reports and design documentation, make effective presentations, taking into account cultural, language, and learning differences.;
- X) Project Management and Finance: Apply knowledge and understanding of engineering management principles and economic decision-making and apply these to one's own work, as a member and leader in a team, and to manage projects and in multidisciplinary environments.;
- xi) Life Long Learning: Recognize the need for, and have the preparation and ability for independent and life-long learning ii) adaptability to new and emerging technologies and iii) critical thinking in the broadest context of technological change (WK8)

Document Title: Engineering Accreditation	n Standard		
Document Level: Standard	Reference No: EAC/STD/01	Revision No.: <b>01</b>	Page: <b>22</b>
Approving Officer: Registrar/CEO	Effective Date: 1st A	UGUST,2024	

The range of **complex problem solving** and **complex engineering activities** are given in Appendix A-Section (d) Definition of Complex Problem Solving; Section (e) Definition of Complex Engineering Activities; and Section (f) lists the Knowledge Profile (WK).

An Engineering programme for which accreditation is sought must respond to the following:

- Programme Outcomes (POs): The IHL/faculty shall have published POs that have been formulated considering items (i) to (xi) given above, and any added outcome that can contribute to the achievement of its stated PEOs.
- Processes and Results: All POs shall be considered in designing the curriculum. The
  attainment of the POs must be adequately assessed, and used for improvements at course
  and programme levels.
- Stakeholders' Involvement: The IHL/faculty shall provide evidence of stakeholders' involvement with regard to (i) and (ii) above.

**Note**: Please refer to Evaluation Guidelines for further elaboration of the expectation with regards to this section.

## 3.2.2 Criterion 2: Programme Curriculum Content

The criterion for curriculum content assures a foundation in mathematics and basic sciences, a broad preparation in engineering sciences and engineering design and an exposure to non-technical subjects that complement the technical aspects of the curriculum. Judgment is applied to both the qualitative and quantitative criteria requirements in each instance. To satisfy accreditation requirements, an engineering programme must include at least a minimum of each of the curriculum components specified in this section.

On an hourly basis, accreditation Units (AU) are defined as; activity which is granted academic credit and for which the associated number of hours corresponds to the actual contact time of that activity.'

- i) One hour of lecture (corresponding to 60 minutes of activity) = 1 AU
- ii) One hour of laboratory, workshop or tutorial work = 05 AU.

Document Level: Standard	Reference No: EAC/STD/01	Revision No.: <b>01</b>	Page:	do
Approving Officer: Registrar/CEO	Effective Date: 1 <sup>ST</sup> A	UGUST,2024		ENGINEERS BOARD OF KENY

#### 3.2.2.1 Mathematics and Basic Sciences:

- i) A minimum of 840 AU of a combination of Mathematics and Basic Sciences. Within this combination, each of mathematics and basic sciences must not be less than 390 AU. This leaves 60 AU for any combination of mathematics and basic sciences that may be deemed desirable.
- ii) Mathematics include appropriate elements of linear algebra, differential and integral calculus, differential equations, probability, statistics, numerical analysis and discrete mathematics.
- The basic (natural) sciences components of the curriculum must include elements of physics and chemistry; elements of life sciences and earth sciences may also be included in this category. These subjects are intended to impart an understanding of natural phenomena and relationships through the use of analytical and/or experimental techniques.

## 3.2.2.2 Engineering Sciences and Engineering Design

- i) A minimum of 2100 AU of a combination of engineering sciences and engineering design. Within this combination, each of engineering sciences and engineering design must not be less than 600 AU. This leaves 900 AU for any combination of engineering sciences and engineering design that may be deemed desirable.
- ii) Engineering science subjects normally have their roots in mathematics and basic sciences, but carry knowledge further towards creative applications. This may involve the development of mathematical or numerical technique, modelling, simulation and experimental procedures. Application to the identification and solution of practical engineering problems is stressed. Such subjects include the applied aspects of strength of materials, fluid mechanics, thermodynamics, electrical and electronic circuits, soil material science, geosciences, environmental studies and other subjects pertinent to the discipline. In addition, the curriculum should include engineering science content which imparts an appreciation of important elements of other engineering disciplines.

Document Title: Engineering Accreditation	n Standard		
Document Level: Standard	Reference No: EAC/STD/01	Revision No.:	Page: <b>24</b>
Approving Officer: Registrar/CEO	Effective Date: 1ST A	UGUST,2024	



- iii) Engineering design integrates mathematics, basic sciences, engineering sciences and complementary studies in developing elements, systems and processes to meet specific needs. It is a creative, interactive and often open-ended process subject to, and, depending upon the discipline. These constraints may relate to economic, health, safety, environmental, societal or other pertinent interdisciplinary factors.
- iv) The engineering curriculum must culminate in a significant design experience which is based on the knowledge and skills acquired in earlier course work and which preferably gives students an exposure to the concepts of team work and project management. A research project may be interpreted as engineering design provided it can be clearly shown that the elements of design, as noted in the definition, are fulfilled in the completion of the project.
- v) Appropriate content requiring the application of computers must be included in the engineering sciences and engineering design components of the curriculum.

## 3.2.2.3 Complementary Studies

- i) A minimum of 450 AU of studies in humanities, social sciences, arts, management, engineering economics, communication and some elements of Law that complement the technical content of the curriculum should be incorporated in the curriculum. While considerable latitude is provided in the choice is suitable course considered to be essential in the education of an engineer, the curriculum must include studies in engineering economics and on the impact of technology on society, and subject matter that deals with central issues, methodologies and thought processes of the humanities and social sciences. Provision must also be made to develop each student's capability to communicate adequately, both orally and in writing.
- ii) Language courses must be included within complementary studies provided they are not taken to fulfil an admission requirement. However, course content should be used to satisfy the requirements for subject matter that deals with central issues, methodologies and thought processes of the humanities and social sciences.

Document Title: Engineering Accreditation	n Standard		
Document Level: Standard	Reference No: EAC/STD/01	Revision No.:	Page. <b>25</b>
Approving Officer: Registrar/CEO	Effective Date: 1ST A	UGUST,2024	



- iii) The entire programme must include a minimum of 3,400 AU. It is expected that accredited programmes will continue to have additional AUs to demonstrate innovation and to achieve the special goals that particular engineering school may have for an education in engineering.
- iv) Appropriate laboratory and workshop experience must be an integral component of the engineering curriculum. Instruction in safety procedures must be included in students' laboratory.
- v) Each programme must ensure that students are made aware of the role and responsibilities of the professional engineer in society. Appropriate exposure to ethics, equity, public and workers safety and health considerations and concepts of sustainable development and environmental stewardship must be an integral component of the engineering curriculum.
- vi) The curriculum prepares students to learn independently and must appropriately expose them to engineering research and development or other innovative engineering activities.

The academic curriculum and curricular design shall strongly reflect the philosophy and approach adopted in the programme structure, and the choice of the teaching-learning (delivery) and assessment methods. The curricular approach, the educational content and the teaching-learning and assessment methods shall be appropriate to, consistent with, and support the attainment or achievement of the POs.

A balanced curriculum shall include all technical and non-technical attributes listed in the POs, and there shall be a balance between the essential elements forming the core of the programme and additional specialist or optional studies (electives). The curriculum shall integrate theory with practice through adequate exposure to laboratory work and professional engineering practice.

Guidelines on academic programmes outlined in this Standard provide essential elements and features, which when combined will render a programme acceptable for accreditation by the EAC.

Document Title: Engineering Accreditation	on Standard		
Document Level: Standard	Reference No: EAC/STD/01	Revision No.: <b>01</b>	Page: 26
Approving Officer: Registrar/CEO	Effective Date: 1ST A	UGUST,2024	

the curriculum.



All engineering programmes need to cover the broad areas of their respective disciplines. Appendix A of this Standard provides list of most courses that the broad areas of the respective traditional programmes. Appropriate breach and depth of the content shall be ensured for all courses. The course structure and sequence of content shall be appropriate. Adequate time shall be allocated for each component of the content/course. Evidence shall be presented to show that the contents are being updated to keep up with scientific, technological and knowledge developments in the field, and to meet societal needs. IHLs shall have mechanisms for regularly identifying topics of contemporary importance at local, national and global levels and topics that may not be adequately addressed in

Other contributing components to the curriculum such as a variety of teaching- learning (delivery) modes, assessment and evaluation methods shall be designed, planned and incorporated within the curriculum to enable students to effectively develop the range of intellectual and practical skills, as well as positive attitudes that are constructively aligned with the PEOs and POs. The assessment to evaluate the degree of the achievement of the POs of the programme shall be done and its level of attainment recorded. The assessment of POs and the Course Outcomes (COs) by the students may also be done both at the programme as well as at course levels, respectively.

The teaching-learning methods shall enable students to take full responsibility for their own learning and prepare them for life-long learning. The programme shall demonstrate the relationship between the courses and the POs.

IHLs need to consult the industry in keeping the content and outcomes up-to- date. However, they should not lose sight of the need to provide an education in engineering, which will form a sound basis for a career that is likely to see rapid changes in technology. As a general rule, it will be appropriate for the programme structure to be designed to give a progressive shift of emphasis from engineering science and principles in the early stages towards more integrated studies in the final year, in a way that will impart knowledge of application of fundamentals and provide a focus for a professional approach.

Document Title: Engineering Accreditation	on Standard			
Document Level: Standard	Reference No: EAC/STD/01	Revision No.:	Page: <b>27</b>	d
Approving Officer: Registrar/CEO	Effective Date: 1st A	UGUST,2024		ENGINEERS BOAR



The emphasis on particular elements or features of the programme must remain flexible, but it will be required in the accreditation process to confirm that minimum levels of understanding and standards of achievement are attained in the basic courses relevant to the fields of engineering.

The essential elements and features are identified for convenience under several hearings, without implying that each is to be treated as a separate or isolated component. In general, the syllabus and curriculum content must be adequate in quality and quantity in terms of coverage and depth.

Emphasis on the curriculum shall be placed on the understanding and acquisition of basic principles and skills of a discipline, rather than memorization of details and facts. The curriculum shall also provide students with ample opportunities for analytical, critical, constructive, and creative thinking, and evidence-based decision making in dealing with complex engineering problems. The curriculum shall include sufficient elements for training students in rational thinking and research methods.

Typical core contents for selected traditional engineering disciplines and the curriculum shall encompass the Complex Problem Identification and Solving, Complex Engineering Activities and Knowledge and Atribute Profile, as summarized in section (e), (f) and (g) in Appendix A of this Standard.

#### Notes

#### i) **Tutorial**

Tutorial can be part of the programme so as to complement the lectures. A tutorial session should preferably not exceed 30 students at any one time.

#### ii) Laboratory Work

Students should receive sufficient laboratory work to complement engineering theory that is learnt through lectures. The laboratory should help students develop competence in executing experimental

Document Title: Engineering Accreditation	n Standard		
Document Level: Standard	Reference No: EAC/STD/01	Revision No.:	Page: <b>28</b>
Approving Officer: Registrar/CEO	Effective Date: 1st A	UGUST,2024	1



work. Students should work in groups, preferably not more than five (5) in a group. It is expected that a significant number of laboratory works shall be open- ended with clear COs and POs. Throughout the programme, there should be adequate provision for laboratory or similar investigative work, which will develop in the future engineer the confidence to deal with complex engineering problems.

## iii) Industrial Training

Exposure to professional engineering practice is a key element in differentiating an engineering degree from an applied science degree. Familiarity with all common engineering processes is essential and exposure at a practical level to a wide variety of processes is required at a level appropriate to the young professional. Whilst it is clearly desirable for students to get a feel of the skills involved, the central aim of the Industrial Training is to achieve appreciation and/or capability of carrying out complex engineering activities but not to acquire craft skills. Clearly, many of the latest processes and large scale or costly operations can only be the subject of observation or demonstration, and visits to engineering works may be helpful in many such cases. It is considered that there is no real substitute for first-hand experience in an engineering-practice environment, other than exposure to the industrial environment outside the IHL. There should be a structured industrial training and supervision by qualified personnel. Industrial training is a key component of learning in an integrated academic curriculum. Due to its importance, the programme shall have a minimum of eight (8) weeks of continuous industrial training for each student.

## iv) Exposure to Engineering Practice

Exposure to engineering practice shall also be integrated throughout the curriculum as it is a key component. In addition, exposure to professional engineering practice may also be obtained through a combination of the following:

- Lectures/talks by guest lecturers from industry.
- Academic staff with industrial experience.
- Courses on professional ethics and code of conduct.

Document Title: Engineering Accreditation	on Standard			
Document Level: Standard	Reference No: EAC/STD/01	Revision No.: <b>01</b>	Page: <b>29</b>	d
Approving Officer: Registrar/CEO	Effective Date: 1st A	UGUST,2024		ENGINEERS BOARD

- Industry visits.
- Industry-based project.
- Regular use of a logbook in which industrial experiences are recorded.

## v) Final-Year Project

The final-year project should preferably be industry related, and can provide one of the best means of introducing an investigative research-oriented approach to engineering studies. It is a requirement of the programme to include a significant project in its later stages. The final-year project is required to seek individual analysis and judgement, capable of being assessed independently. The student among others is expected to develop techniques in literature review and information processing, as necessary with all research approaches. It is recommended that final-year projects should also provide opportunities to utilize appropriate modern technology in some aspects of the work, emphasizing the need for engineers to make use of computers and multimedia technology in everyday practice.

## vi) Integrated Design Projects

Integrated Design Projects (IDP) shall involve complex engineering problems and design systems, components or processes integrating (culminating) core areas and meeting specified needs with appropriate consideration for public health and safety, cultural, societal, project management, economy, and environmental considerations where appropriate. The IDPs are multifaceted assignment that serves as a culminating academic and intellectual experience for students, typically towards the end of an academic programme or learning-pathway experience. The IDP should involve students working in group. The programme should seize the opportunity to deliver and assess many relevant POs through the Integrated project.

Document Title: Engineering Accreditation	on Standard		
Document Level: Standard	Reference No: EAC/STD/01	Revision No.: <b>01</b>	Page:
Approving Officer: Registrar/CEO	Effective Date: 1st A	UGUST,2024	



## vii) Condition for Passing Courses

The IHLs must ensure that no students shall pass a course if they fail in their final examination of that course, unless the continuous assessment approach adopted can demonstrate the attainment of the depth of knowledge.

## 3.2.3 Criterion 3 - Faculty Staff Establishment

The character of the educational experience is influenced strongly by the engineering competence and outlook of the faculty. The faculty devoted to the programme must be large enough to cover, by experience and interest, all of the curricular areas of the programme. Even though a faculty may include full-time and part-time members, there must be a sufficient number of full-time faculty members to ensure adequate levels of students-faculty interaction, student counselling and faculty participation in the development, control and administration of the curriculum. Faculty teaching loads should allow time for adequate participation in research and professional development activities. Under no circumstances should a programme be critically dependent on one individual. The engineering faculty must assume the responsibility of assuring that students receive proper curricular and career counselling.

The Dean of Engineering (or equivalent officer) and the Chair of an engineering department (or equivalent officer) are expected to provide effective leadership in engineering education and to have achieved a high standing in the engineering community. They are expected to be professional engineers registered as Professional Engineers by the Engineers Board of Kenya.

Faculty teaching courses in the engineering curriculum are expected to have high level of competence and to be dedicated to the aims of engineering education. The overall competence of Faculty is judged by such factors as the level of academic education and its members, the diversity of their backgrounds, the nature and extent of their non-academic experience, their ability to communicate effectively, their scientific and professional publications, their degree of participation in the profession, scientific and learned societies and their special interest in the students' curricular and extra-curricular activities. Where applicable their dedication to the profession is expected to be

Document Title: Engineering Accreditation	on Standard			
Document Level: Standard	Reference No: EAC/STD/01	Revision No.: <b>01</b>	Page: <b>31</b>	do:
Approving Officer: Registrar/CEO	Effective Date: 1ST A	UGUST,2024		ENGINEERS BOARD OF KENYA

reflected in their registration as professional engineers and graduate engineers in Kenya. Faculty teaching courses which are primarily engineering science and engineering design are expected to be taught by registered professional engineers in Kenya.

The Engineering Faculty Board (or equivalent) must have effective control over programmes administered by another faculty.

A viable engineering programme is expected to have a minimum of 8 full-time academic staff relevant to the particular engineering discipline. All academic staff teaching engineering subjects **must** be registered with EBK. Every programme shall have a minimum of three (3) full-time Professional Engineers registered with the EBK and actively teach in the programme. For programmes with a total student enrolment exceeding 160, at least 30 percent of the actively teaching engineering academic staff shall be registered with the EBK as Professional Engineers.

In addition, IHLs may engage part-time staff with acceptable professional qualifications in the related engineering fields. Numbers of part time staff recruited shall not exceed 40% of the total staff. Academic staff shall have postgraduate degrees (Masters level or higher). However, a staff member with a recognized first degree and long industrial/specialist experience with acceptable professional qualifications may be considered.

It must be demonstrated that the academic staff have the competencies to cover all areas of the programme, and are implementing the outcome-based approach to education. The overall competence of the academic staff may be judged by such factors as education, diversity of background, engineering experience, teaching experience, ability to communicate, enthusiasm for developing more effective programmes, level of scholarship, participation in professional societies and attainment of Professional Engineer status. The IHL should ensure its staff gain the necessary industrial experience required to achieve professional status.

Document Title: Engineering Accreditation	n Standard		
Document Level: Standard	Reference No: EAC/STD/01	Revision No.:	Page:
Assessing Office Degletons (OFO	FCC - C - D - L ACT A	LICUICT COOA	



Approving Officer: Registrar/CEO Effective Date: 1<sup>ST</sup> AUGUST,2024

The full-time equivalent academic staff to student ratio shall ideally be 1:25 or better to ensure effective teaching, student-staff interaction, student advising and counselling, IHL service and research activities, professional development and interaction with industry.

There shall also be sufficient, qualified and experienced technical and administrative staff to provide adequate support to the educational programme. It is recommended that each technical staff shall be in charge of not more than two laboratories.

## 3.2.4 Criterion 4 – Institutions Training Facilities and Infrastructure

The applying institution shall demonstrate that at a minimum it has:

- Suitable and adequate learning venues where the programme is offered, including lecture halls, laboratories, workshops and sufficient library resources.
- There are safety and health policy and guidelines especially with respect to laboratory and workshop practice.
- iii) Suitable and sufficient IT infrastructure is available as determined by the nature of the programme. This includes functionally appropriate hardware (computers and printers), software (programmes) and databases. The infrastructure should be properly maintained and continuously upgraded and adequate funds are available for this purpose. Students and staff are trained in the use of the technology required for the programme.

The quality of the environment in which the programme is delivered is regarded as paramount to providing the educational experience necessary to accomplish the POs. There must be adequate teaching and learning facilities such as classrooms, learning-support facilities, study areas, information resources (library), computing and information-technology systems, laboratories and workshops, and associate equipment to cater for multi-delivery modes.

Sufficient and appropriate experimental facilities must be available for students to gain substantial

Document Title: Engineering Accreditation	on Standard			51
Document Level: Standard	Reference No: EAC/STD/01	Revision No.:	Page:	ch
Approving Officer: Registrar/CEO	Effective Date: 1 <sup>ST</sup> A	UGUST,2024		ENGINEERS BOARD OF K

experience in understanding and operating engineering equipment and of designing and conducting experiments. The equipment must be reasonably representative of modern engineering practice. Where practical work is undertaken at another institution, or in industry, arrangements must be such as to provide reasonable accessibility and opportunity for learning. IHLs must ensure that all facilities are maintained and adhered to best practices in safety, health and environment where appropriate.

The IHL shall comply with any or all applicable rules or regulations pertaining to safety, health and environment. For programmes offered wholly or partly in distance mode, or at multiple or remote locations, facilities must be sufficient to support student learning, equivalent to those provided for on-campus students. Support facilities such as hostels, sport and recreational centers, health centers, student centers, and transport must be adequate to facilitate students' life on campus and to enhance character building.

## 3.2.5 Criterion 5 – Duration of Training

The Institution must ensure that a degree programme leading to the award of an engineering degree shall be five (5) years covering the curriculum approved by EAC.

#### 3.2.6 Criterion 6 – Quality Assurance System

The Institution applying for accreditation of its engineering programme(s) must demonstrate that a quality assurance system is in place to assure the achievement of programme outcomes and to provide for continuous improvement of its systems and processes.

The IHL and the faculty must ensure that there exists a quality management system to oversee and monitor the overall achievement of the PEOs and POs. These include the controlling, managing, directing, organizing and supervising of the overall management system of the IHL. It must have adequate arrangements for planning, development, delivery and review of engineering programmes together with the academic and professional development of its staff.

Document Title: Engineering Accreditation Standard

Document Level:
Standard

Reference No:
EAC/STD/01

Revision No.:
Page:
34

Approving Officer: Registrar/CEO

Effective Date: 1<sup>ST</sup> AUGUST,2024



## 3.6.2.1 Institutional Support, Operating Environment, and Financial Resources

The IHL must regard quality engineering education as a significant and long-term component of its activity. This would most commonly be reflected in the IHL's vision and mission statements and strategic plans. In addition, institutional support may be reflected in the constructive leadership, adequate policies and mechanisms for attracting, appointing, retaining and rewarding well-qualified staff and providing for their ongoing professional development; and for providing and updating infrastructure and support services. It must ensure that creative leadership is available to the IHL through the appointment of highly qualified and experienced senior staff in sufficient numbers.

The development of academic staff, in particular, through opportunities for further education, industrial exposure, as well as research and development, is of utmost importance for the sustainability and quality improvement of the programme. Opportunities for the development of support staff should also be provided. The IHL shall provide sound policies, adequate funding and infrastructure for this purpose. Financial resources must be adequate to assure the overall quality and continuity of the engineering programme. The IHL must have sufficient financial resources to acquire, maintain, and operate facilities and equipment appropriate for the engineering programme.

#### 3.6.2.2 Programme Quality Management and Planning

The IHL's processes for programme planning, curriculum development, and regular curriculum and content review must involve all academic staff. The processes include reviewing PEOs and POs, tracking the contributions of individual Course Outcomes (COs) to the POs, tracking performance assessment processes, reviewing the comments from External Examiner/Advisor, reviewing feedback and inputs from stakeholders.

The process of continual quality improvement shall be implemented with full accountability. The IHL must demonstrate appropriate benchmarking is carried out with similar accredited/recognized programme(s) offered at other IHLs. For a new programme, the processes surrounding the decision to introduce the programme should be established. Programme(s) via various modes and at different

Document Title: Engineering Accreditation	on Standard			
Document Level: Standard	Reference No: EAC/STD/01	Revision No.:	Page:	d
Approving Officer: Registrar/CEO	Effective Date: 1 <sup>ST</sup> A	UGUST,2024		ENGINEERS BOA

locations, such as, full-time, franchised, twinning, part-time, distance learning, joint degree and multi campus may be conducted. The IHL awarding the degree shall be responsible for ensuring the quality and management of these programmes.

## 3.2.6.3 External Assessment and Advisory System

The IHL shall have an external examiner for each programme to independently review the overall academic standard. Appendix C provides a guideline for the content of the report. The external examiner shall be a person of high academic standing in the engineering discipline and a professional engineer registered by the Board. The external examiner/advisor is expected to carry out the overall assessment of the programme including staff as well as all courses and laboratory work undertaken by the students. Evaluation is to be made at least once in every two (2) years.

The IHL shall have an industry advisory panel for participation by practicing engineers, alumni and employers of engineers for the purpose of planning and continuous improvement of programme quality. The advisory body should be specific for the programme, so that the IAP can provide input specific to the programme. The number of IAP members should be appropriate so that the IAP can bring about improvement to the programme. These industry advisors shall be expected to provide inputs and recommendation on an on-going basis through participation in discussion and forums. The IHL should leverage on the IAP for teaching and learning activities.

The external examiner **report** and feedback from industry advisors shall be used for continual quality improvement.

## 3.2.6.4 Quality Assurance

A quality management system must be in place to assure the achievement of Programme Outcomes. The IHL shall maintain its quality management system, based on an established quality assurance standard, for example, ISO:9001 Quality Management System, or other quality assurance systems and benchmarking. The quality assurance processes should include, among others:

a) Student admission including AU and course transfer/exemption.

Document Title: Engineering Accreditation	on Standard		
Document Level: Standard	Reference No: EAC/STD/01	Revision No.:	Page: 36
Approving Officer: Registrar/CEO	Effective Date: 1st A	UGUST,2024	



- b) Teaching and learning.
- c) Programme and curriculum review
- d) Assessment and evaluation which include:
  - · examination regulations and criteria for pass/fail
  - preparation and moderation processes
  - level of assessment
  - assessment processes including final year project/industrial training.

## 3.2.6.5 Students

The quality and performance of students, in relation to the POs is of utmost importance in the evaluation of an engineering programme. Students intending to pursue engineering programmes shall have a good understanding of mathematics and natural sciences. The normal entry qualification is KCSE (with C+ grade in mathematics, physics, chemistry, biology (where applicable and English/Kiswahili) or its equivalent.

IHLs shall ensure that students, who do not meet the above criteria, undertake suitable remedial programmes in order to attain the equivalent entry qualification. IHLs must put in place clear policies and mechanism for Credit Exemption/Transfer to allow alternative educational pathways.

The programme shall provide the necessary teaching-learning environment to support the achievement of the PEOs and POs. The teaching-learning environment shall be conducive to ensure that students are always enthusiastic and motivated.

The IHL shall provide necessary counselling services to students regarding academic, career, financial, and health matters. The programme should demonstrate the necessary avenues for students to get their feedback and suggestions on improving the programme such as committee,

Document Title: Engineering Accreditation	on Standard	*>		
Document Level: Standard	Reference No: EAC/STD/01	Revision No.: <b>01</b>	Page: <b>37</b>	do:
Approving Officer: Registrar/CEO	Effective Date: 1ST A	UGUST,2024		ENGINEERS BOARD OF KENYA

forum, feedback services, and so on. Students shall not be over burdened with workload that may be beyond their ability to cope with. However, adequate opportunities, such as involvement in co-curricular activities in student clubs, competitions, sports and campus activities shall be provided for students to develop their character apart from academic development.

Document Title: Engineering Accreditation	n Standard		
Document Level: Standard	Reference No: EAC/STD/01	Revision No.:	Page:
Approving Officer: Registrar/CFO	Effective Date: 1ST A	UGUST 2024	



## **CHAPTER FOUR**

#### 4.0 ACCREDITATION DOCUMENTS

## 4.1 New Programme (First-cycle) or New-cycle Accreditation or Approval of New Programme

The IHL applying or reapplying (in deferment case) for accreditation of new programme (first-cycle) or new-cycle accreditation, or approval of new programme, must submit documents that provide accurate information and sufficient evidence for the purpose of evaluation.

It should not be necessary to develop extensive documentation specifically for accreditation evaluation, since the purpose of accreditation is to evaluate the systems already in place. For each application, unless otherwise stated, the IHL shall submit through the Accreditation Management System (AMS) the following documents:

- i. A completed Self-Assessment Report (SAR) (see 4.3)
- ii. Supporting and other relevant Documents
- A completed Appendix B (Checklist of Documents for Accreditation/Approval of New Programme and Relevant Information).

Institutional Documents and Additional Documentation (as noted in Section 4.5) are to be made available during the visit.

## 4.2 Limited Term Accreditation/ Interim Accreditation

For programme that has been accorded accreditation with interim conditions, or programme applying for extension of accreditation in the same cycle, unless otherwise stated, the IHL shall submit through the Accreditation Management System (AMS) the following documents:

- (i) The earlier SAR prepared for previous accreditation visit
- (ii) An addendum to the SAR. The addendum shall include:
  - Report related to concerns listed under accreditation conditions. Self-assess the closing

Document Level:	Reference No:	Revision No.:	Page:	
Standard	EAC/STD/01	01	39	CO:
Approving Officer: Registrar/CEO	Effective Date: 1st A	UGUST,2024		ENGINEERS BOARD OF KENY

of concerns, substantiated with evidences of actions taken to close the concerns, and results achieved from the actions. It summarizes the closing of concerns in a tabular form.

- Updates on the fulfilment of the eight (8) Qualifying Requirements.
- Report of how the programme is addressing (closing the gap) newly introduced/revised accreditation requirements by the EAC (if any).
- Updates on any changes in information, data, statistics, status, policies, etc., and report
  on Continual Quality Improvement (CQI) activities related to the six (6) accreditation
  criteria. These may involve for example change of programme name, PEO or PO
  statements, OBE model, academic curriculum (structure or content), students' entry
  requirements, number of academic or support staff, number of academic staff with
  professional qualifications, staff student ratio, facilities, QMS.
- Report on action taken to address issues listed under Opportunity for Improvement (OFI)
   in the previous accreditation visit with CQI being put into practice, where appropriate.
- Any other related matters to be highlighted in any section/criteria.
- (iii) Supporting and other relevant Documents Digital format.

Institutional Documents and Additional Documentation are to be made available during the visit.

#### 4.3 Self-Assessment Report

A Self-Assessment Report (SAR) is an account of the IHL's plan, implementation, assessment and evaluation of the programme conducted. It reflects the processes with results obtained used in continual quality improvement at all levels of the programme's activities.

This document, ranging between 50 – 100 pages with all pages numbered and a table of contents, shall provide the self-evaluation of the outcomes and subsequent corrective actions including the information and description about the programme to enable the Evaluation Panel to objectively assess the programme for accreditation or approval. The emphasis shall be on qualitative description

Document Title: Engineering Accreditation	n Standard			
Document Level: Standard	Reference No: EAC/STD/01	Revision No.:	Page: 40	6
Approving Officer: Registrar/CEO	Effective Date: 1st A	UGUST,2024		ENGINEER!

of each aspect and criterion, and how these meet the standards and expectation as set out in this Standard. In other words, this summary document is a form of self-assessment of the IHL's programme outcomes attainment.

The SAR shall be structured according to section 4.3.2 to 4.3.7. Appendix F provides samples of formats for tabulation of information.

Supporting documents/evidences related to the SAR write-up on all the criteria should be provided. These can include (but not limited to those items in Appendix B

The submission must be comprehensive, reachable, self-contained and provide a coherent overview with the text addressing each major point in a definitive manner. It must be concise with sufficient depth and detail in conjunction with the supporting information to appropriately represent the programme. It will not be sufficient to merely provide a collection of disparate items, or point to a web site, and requiring the EAC to find the relevant information.

The IHL is advised to provide accurate information as required by this Accreditation Standard, for verification by the Evaluation Panel during the visit.

## 4.3.1 General Information (Appendix B, section A & B)

- i) Provide general information on the IHL and the specific programme.
- ii) Provide detailed information on programme history of accreditation (year of accreditation, conditions imposed and actions taken).
- iii) Describe any changes made to the programme and state the year the changes were introduced.

#### 4.3.2 Programme Design

# 4.3.2.1 Programme Educational Objectives (PEOs)

- i) State the vision and mission of the IHL and/or faculty.
- ii) List the PEOs and state where they are published or publicized.
- iii) Describe how the PEOs are consistent with the vision and mission of the IHL and/or faculty

Document Title: Engineering Accreditation	on Standard			
Document Level: Standard	Reference No: EAC/STD/01	Revision No.: <b>01</b>	Page: <b>41</b>	d
Approving Officer: Registrar/CEO	Effective Date: 1st A	UGUST,2024		ENGINEERS BOARD



and stakeholders' requirements.

- iv) Describe the process used to establish /formulate/define and review PEOs
- v) Describe the definition or PEO elements/performance indicators, achievement criteria, and performance targets.
- vi) Describe the PEOs development and assessment processes including but not limited to:
  - a. Performance indicators
  - b. The assessment instruments
  - c. Their achievements
  - d. COI activities
  - e. Stakeholders involvement
- vii) Self-assess on programme performance related to PEOs based on the following scale (with justifications) Satisfactory/Unsatisfactory

## 4.3.2.2 Programme Outcomes (POs)

- i) List down the POs and state where are they published.
- ii) Describe how the POs relate to the PEOs.
- iii) Describe how the POs are developed; how they encompass and are consistent with the PEOs.
- iv) Describe the PO definition or elements / performance indicators
- v) Describe the processes used to establish/formulate, define PO elements/performance indicators, and review the PO statements. This includes describing the tools used in the processes (survey, meetings, interviews, etc.) and frequency of activities and timelines
- vi) Describe the OBE model adopted to deliver, assess and evaluate achievement of the POs. Highlight how direct assessments (as primary evidence) of the achievement of the POs by the

Document Title: Engineering Accreditation	n Standard		
Document Level: Standard	Reference No: EAC/STD/01	Revision No.:	Page: <b>42</b>
Approving Officer: Registrar/CEO	Effective Date: 1st A	UGUST.2024	



students are reached. Give example on how the assessment from related COs from various courses that are mapped to a particular PO are used in determining the achievement of the POs by the students, i.e. elaborate on the POs achievement criteria and performance targets

- vii) Describe the PO assessment model adopted by indicating:
  - a. Where each of the PO is assessed
  - b. How each of the PO is assessed
- c. What is the satisfactory attainment and measures to overcome any shortcomings viii) Describe CQI strategies implemented in relation to POs.
- ix) Self-assess on programme performance related to POs based on the following scale (with justifications): \*Satisfactory/Unsatisfactory

## 4.3.3 Programme Curriculum Content

- i) Describe the programme structure and course contents to show how they are appropriate to, consistent with, and support the development of the range of intellectual and practical skills and attainment or achievement of the POs.
- ii) Describe the programme delivery and assessment (include description of assessment rubrics for projects, case studies, etc. and non-cognitive POs) methods, methods and how these are appropriate to, consistent with, and support the development of the range of intellectual and practical skills and attainment or achievement of the POs.
- iii) The information required in items (i) and (ii) should include but is not limited to the following:
  - A matrix linking courses to POs to identify and track the contribution of each course to the POs. IHL may adopt the sample overall 'Courses to POs' mapping matrix included in Appendix F of this Standard to identify and track the contribution of the courses to the POs as a guiding template. IHL may adopt own mapping strategy that may be different from the sample template. Table 1

Document Title: Engineering Accreditation	on Standard			<b>I</b>
Document Level: Standard	Reference No: EAC/STD/01	Revision No.: <b>01</b>	Page:	d
Approving Officer: Registrar/CEO	Effective Date: 1 <sup>ST</sup> A	UGUST,2024	1	ENGINEERS BOARD

- Distribution of the engineering courses according to areas specific to each programme referring to underpinning Engineering Sciences, Principles, and Applications for traditional courses (Civil, Mechanical, Electrical, Chemical and Electronics) of Appendix A and areas obtained from benchmarking exercises with established programme elsewhere for non-conventional programmes. Table 2
- Mapping of the courses to the Knowledge Profile in Appendix A.
- Distribution of the related non-engineering (general education) courses. Table 4
- Distribution of the courses offered according to semester. Table 5

(Note: Samples of table formats are available in Appendix F).

- iv) Describe how benchmarking report/s and other feedback (from EAC, Industry Linkages, External Examiner, etc.) have resulted in Academic Curriculum improvement.
- v) Describe how the requirements of Complex Problem Solving) and Complex Engineering Activities (CEA) have been addressed.
- vi) Describe laboratory exercises, related POs, and approach to deliver and assess. Give examples of open-ended laboratory activities.
- vii) Describe industrial training scheme and relate it to POs using appropriate examples.
- viii) Describe exposure to professional practice and relate it to POs. Cite examples of exposure to professional practice activities.
- ix) Describe Final Year Projects (FYPs), related POs, and how FYPs fulfil the specific requirements stipulated in the Standard.
- Describe Integrated Design Projects, related POs, and how the projects fulfil the specific requirements stipulated in the Standard.
- xi) Describe the 'Condition for Passing Courses' practice(s).
- xii) Describe the extent to which the programme's various stakeholders are involved in the

Document Title: Engineering Accreditation	n Standard		
Document Level: Standard	Reference No: EAC/STD/01	Revision No.:	Page:
Approving Officer: Registrar/CEO	Effective Date: 1ST A	UGUST,2024	



curriculum development and review process.

- xiii) Describe CQI strategies to be implemented in relation to Academic Curriculum.
- xiv) Self-assess on programme performance related to Academic Curriculum based on the following scale (with justifications): \*Poor/Satisfactory/Good

## 4.3.4 Faculty Staff Establishment - Workload per semester for the Lecturers

- Discuss the adequacy and competencies of the academic staff in covering all areas of the programme, and in implementing the Outcome-based approach to education. The overall competence of Academic staff is viewed from their diversity of background, academic qualification, academic and professional practice experiences, including their track record in teaching, research, publications, administration and service to the society, ability to communicate, enthusiasm for developing more effective programmes, level of scholarship, participation in professional societies and attainment of Professional Engineer status.
- ii) Discuss how the overall staff workload enables effective teaching, student-staff interaction, student advising and counselling, IHL service and research activities, professional development and interaction with industry.
- iii) Discuss the sufficiency and competency of technical and administrative staff in providing adequate support to the educational programme.
- iv) The information required in items (i) to (iii) should include but is not limited to the following (Appendix F):
  - a. A breakdown in terms of numbers of academic staff (full-time, part- time and interprogramme - Table 8
  - b. An analysis of all academic staff -Table 9
  - c. A summary of the academic qualifications of academic staff -Table 10
  - d. A summary of the professional qualifications and membership in professional

Document Title: Engineering Accreditation	on Standard			<b>A</b>
Document Level: Standard	Reference No: EAC/STD/01	Revision No.: <b>01</b>	Page: <b>45</b>	de
Approving Officer: Registrar/CEO	Effective Date: 1st A	UGUST,2024		ENGINEERS BOARD O

bodies/societies of academic staff This shall also include registration with the Engineers Board of Kenya in line with the requirement. -Table 11

- e. A summary of the posts held by full time academic staff Table 12
- f. A summary of teaching workload of academic staff for the current semester Table
   13
- g. An analysis of all support staff Table 14
- h. A summary of the posts held by support staff -Table 15
- The staff: student ratio by year for all academic years for the past five years. Table
   16
- A listing of lecturers/invited speakers from industry/public bodies and their level of involvement.
- v) Describe the implemented professional training scheme and incentives for academic staff. List down academic staff who have undergone or still undergoing training. Provide future projected professional training programme.
- vi) Describe participation of academic staff in consultancy activities.
- vii) Describe participation of academic staff in research and development activities.
- viii) Describe CQI strategies to be implemented in relation to Academic and Support Staff.
- ix) Self-assess on programme performance related to Academic and Support Staff based on the following scale (with justifications): \*Poor/Satisfactory/Good

#### 4.3.5 Institutions Training Facilities and Infrastructure

- Discuss the adequacy of teaching and learning facilities such as classrooms, learningsupport facilities, study areas, information resources (library), computing and informationtechnology systems, laboratories and workshops, and associated equipment to cater for multi-delivery modes.
- ii) For programmes offered wholly or partly in distance mode, or at multiple or remote 45 | Page

Document Title: Engineering Accreditation	on Standard		
Document Level: Standard	Reference No: EAC/STD/01	Revision No.:	Page:
Approving Officer: Registrar/CEO	Effective Date: 1ST A	UGUST,2024	



locations, describe how the facilities provided are equivalent to those provided for oncampus students.

- iii) Describe the adequacy of support facilities such as hostels, sport and recreational centres, health centres, student centres, and transport in facilitating students' life on campus and enhancing character building.
- iv) The information required in items (i) to (iii) should be provided in the supporting documents but is not limited to the following:
  - a. A summary, in tabulated form, of the lecture facilities (give number, capacity, and audio video facilities available).
  - A summary, in tabulated form, of the laboratories (list down the equipment available in each laboratory).
  - c. A summary, in tabulated form, of the workshops (list down the equipment/machinery available in each workshop).
  - d. A summary, in tabulated form, of the computer laboratories (list down the hardware and software available).
  - e. A summary, in tabulated form, of the other supporting facilities such as the library (list down the titles of books/journals/magazines/ standards of relevance to the programme).
  - f. A summary, in tabulated form, of recreational facilities.
  - g. A summary, in tabulated form, of information on recent improvements and planned improvements in these facilities.
- Describe procedures and monitoring of health, safety and environmental aspects of facilities including lecture halls, laboratories, equipment, etc.
- vi) Describe maintenance and calibration aspects of teaching facilities and equipment/apparatus.

Document Title: Engineering Accreditation	on Standard			
Document Level: Standard	Reference No: EAC/STD/01	Revision No.: <b>01</b>	Page: <b>47</b>	do
Approving Officer: Registrar/CEO	Effective Date: 1st A	UGUST,2024	1	ENGINEERS BOARD OF



- vii) Discuss how the safety, health and environment issues being managed by the IHL.
- viii) Describe CQI strategies to be implemented in relation to Facilities.
- ix) Self-assess on programme performance related to Facilities based on the following scale (with justifications): \*Satisfactory/Unsatisfactory

## 4.3.6 Duration of Training

Describe the duration of training for the programme

## 4.3.7 Quality Assurance Systems

- (i) Describe the Quality Management Systems and organizational structure of the IHL as well as the structure within the faculty/department/ programme. Discuss the commitment and level and adequacy of institutional support, operating environment, financial resources, constructive leadership, policies and mechanisms for attracting, appointing, retaining and rewarding well-qualified staff and provision of professional development, and provision of infrastructure and support services to achieve the PEOs and POs and assure continuity/sustainability of the programme. All relevant policies are to be made available during the visit.
- (ii) Discuss the mechanism for the following: programme planning; curriculum development; curriculum and content review; responding to feedback and inputs from all stakeholders monitoring the contribution of individual courses to the POs; monitoring outcomes of performance through assessment; responding to External Examiners comments; reviewing of PEOs and POs; and Continual Quality Improvement (CQI). Where these are discussed elsewhere in the report, specify their locations. For a new programme, the IHL also needs to discuss the processes surrounding the decision to introduce the programme.
- (iii) Summarize feedback obtained from External Examiner/Advisor, IAP and stakeholders and how CQI was carried out.

Document Title: Engineering Accreditation	on Standard		
Document Level: Standard	Reference No: EAC/STD/01	Revision No.:	Page:
Approving Officer: Registrar/CEO	Effective Date: 1st A	UGUST,2024	



- (iv) Summarized benchmarking reports and how CQI was carried out.
- (v) Describe how the Quality Management System (QMS) of the IHL provides quality assurance covering (not limited to) the following:
  - System for Examination Regulations including Preparation and Moderation of Examination Papers: The programme has established a working system for examination regulations including preparation and moderation of examination papers.
  - System of Assessment for Examinations, Projects, and Industrial Training: The
    programme has established a working system for assessment of examinations,
    projects, industrial training and other forms of learning delivery. The scope of
    assessment is wide enough to cover the achievement of POs.
  - System for student admission and teaching and learning: The programme has established a working system for student admission and teaching and learning.

Quality assurance can be reflected through proper and sufficient policies/ rules/regulations/procedures in the Department/Faculty or IHL, and whether those systems are implemented.

- (vi) Describe the management system for safety, health and environment.
- (vii) Describe CQI strategies to be implemented in relation to QMS
- (viii)Self-assess on programme performance related to Quality Management Systems (QMS) based on the following scale (with justifications): \*Satisfactory/Unsatisfactory

#### 4.3.7.1 Students

- (i) Describe the requirements and process for admission of students to the programme.
- (ii) Describe the policies and processes for credit transfer/exemption.
- (iii) Describe students' counselling services available.

Document Title: Engineering Accreditation	on Standard			
Document Level: Standard	Reference No: EAC/STD/01	Revision No.:	Page: <b>49</b>	C
Approving Officer: Registrar/CEO	Effective Date: 1 <sup>ST</sup> AUGUST,2024			

- (iv) Describe formal or informal feedback platform/channel to obtain students feedback and suggestions for further programme improvement, and how have the feedback resulted in programme improvement.
- (v) Describe students' workload.
- (vi) Describe students' activities and involvement in student organizations and relevant professional engineering bodies that provide experience in management and governance, representation in education and related matters and social activities.
- (vii) The information required in items (i) to (vi) should include but is not limited to the following:
  - The distribution of students' enrolment for all academic years for the past five years (Table 6 in Appendix F).
  - The entry qualifications of final year students of the current semester (Table 7 in Appendix F).
- (viii)Discuss students' performances in relation to POs from overall holistic perspective involving both curricular and co-curricular activities, such as participating in design competitions, public speaking activities, etc.
- (ix) Describe CQI strategies to be implemented in relation to Students.
- (x) Self-assess on programme performance related to Students based on the following scale(with justifications): \*Poor/Satisfactory/Good

#### 4.4 Other Relevant Information

Include additional information which supports the continuing progress and visibility of the programme, such as major research accomplishments.

Document Title: Engineering Accreditation	on Standard			
Document Level: Standard	Reference No: EAC/STD/01	Revision No.: <b>01</b>	Page: 50	do:
Approving Officer: Registrar/CEO	Effective Date: 1st A	UGUST,2024		ENGINEERS BOARD OF KENYA

## 4.5 Supporting Material Document - Digital Format

The supporting documents are evidence to substantiate claims made in the SAR by IHL. These documents are to be submitted in digital format as Appendices to the SAR.

# 4.6 Institutional Documents and Additional Documentation to be Made Available during the Visit – Hard Copy.

The Institutional Documents and Additional Documentation shall be made available during the visit in hardcopies or other tangible forms. They are to support the information/evidences requested in Chapter 4, Sections 4.3 and 4.5 for verification purposes by the Evaluation Panel. These documents are either hardcopies of the supporting documents already provided by the IHL during the SAR submission digital format, or additional documents to further support the supporting documents, or evidences not submitted with the SAR but to be viewed during the visit. These may include:

- i) The IHL/programme's handbook, undergraduate prospectus, academic calendar or other official publications relating to the faculty/school/department, and containing the statement of programme details; IHL prospectus; and any other documents that relate to the faculty/school/department, and programme.
- Completed questionnaire survey forms.
- iii) Documents related to IAP activities.
- iv) Documents related to training workshops related to OBE and Curriculum development.
- v) OBE user manual.
- vi) PO trays/boxes for each of the 11
- vii) EAC's POs.
- viii) OBE management software (if any).
- ix) Course files for every course offered by the programme, provide the course

Document Title: Engineering Accreditation	on Standard			
Document Level: Standard	Reference No: EAC/STD/01	Revision No.: <b>01</b>	Page: <b>51</b>	C
Approving Officer: Registrar/CEO	Effective Date: 1 <sup>ST</sup> AUGUST,2024			ENGINEERS

information to include the targeted course learning outcomes, a matrix linking course outcomes to programme outcomes, course synopsis/syllabus, and a list of references (texts used). Examination papers complete with answer scheme and graded examination papers with low, medium and high grades are also to be provided. Any information with regard to other learning activities and assessment measures such as projects, quizzes, tutorial questions, assignments, class projects, copies of the course notes, and any other materials used for the course are also to be included. Sample of projects with low, medium and high grades are also to be provided. Assessment rubrics or projects and non-cognitive outcomes shall be included.

- x) Final year project reports and assessment rubrics.
- xi) Integrated design projects and assessment rubrics.
- xii) Moderation forms for examination papers and other continuous assessments.
- xiii) Laboratory exercises to include experiment instruction sheets, as well as supporting information, and marked laboratory exercises.
- xiv) Laboratory reports.
- xv) Documents related to industrial training (IT)/placement and students' IT report.
- xvi) Documents related to industrial exposure for students (industrial visit, talks, etc.).
- xvii) Documents related to students' feedback.
- xviii) Documents related to students' participation in design competition, public speaking activities, etc.
- xix) Documents related to industrial attachment/professional scheme for academic staff.
- xx) Documents related to academic staff attending training, conferences and workshops.
- xxi) Documents related to support staff training.
- xxii) Documents related to staff industry linked consultancy activities.

Document Title: Engineering Accreditation	n Standard			1
Document Level: Standard	Reference No: EAC/STD/01	Revision No.:	Page: <b>52</b>	ch:
Approving Officer: Registrar/CEO	Effective Date: 1 <sup>ST</sup> AUGUST,2024			ENGINEERS BOARD OF KENYA

- xxiii) Documents related to staff industry linked research activities.
- xxiv) Documents related to staff promotion exercises.
- xxv) Equipment calibration records.
- xxvi) Facilities and equipment maintenance records.
- xxvii) Documents related to health, safety, and environment.
- xxviii) IHL/programme annual report.
- xxix) Published policies.
- xxx) External Examiner/Advisor report.
- xxxi) Benchmarking reports.
- xxxii) Minutes of meetings involving all criteria.
- xxxiii) Other relevant documentation/evidence

Document Title: Engineering Accreditation	on Standard			
Document Level: Standard	Reference No: EAC/STD/01	Revision No.:	Page: <b>54</b>	d
Approving Officer: Registrar/CEO	Effective Date: 1ST A	UGUST,2024		ENGINEERS BOARD



## LIST OF APPENDICES

- Appendix A Engineering Content for the Main Branches and Innovative Programmes
- Appendix B Checklist of Documents for Accreditation\*/Approval of New Programme and Relevant Information
- Appendix C Evaluation Panel Report
- Appendix D External Examiner/Advisor Report
- Appendix E Process Flow Chart for Application of Accreditation and Approval of Engineering Programmes
- Appendix F Sample Table Templates for SAR

Document Title: Engineering Accreditation Standard

Document Level:
Standard

Reference No:
EAC/STD/01

Revision No.:
Page:
55

Approving Officer: Registrar/CEO

Effective Date: 1st AUGUST,2024



## APPENDIX A: ENGINEERING CONTENT FOR THE MAIN BRANCHES AND INNOVATIVE PROGRAMMES

# a. (i) Engineering Science and Principles for Main Branches

An accredited programme is expected to cover the broad areas of the respective disciplines at an appropriate level. The following are the areas to be considered for the respective traditional programmes/disciplines programmes:

CIVIL	MECHANICAL	ELECTRICAL	CHEMICAL	AGRICULTURAL
• Strength of Materials	Materials	Circuits and Signals	Chemical Thermo- dynamics	Post harvest food and bu-products processing industry
<ul> <li>Structural Analysis and Design</li> </ul>	The second secon	Electromagnetic     Fields and Waves	Material and Energy Balance	<ul> <li>Farm Power and Machinery Engineering,</li> </ul>
Fluid     Mechanics/ Hydraulics	Fluid Mechanics	Instrumentation and Control	Chemical Kinetics and Reactor Design	Soil and Water
Soil     Mechanics/     Geotechnical     Engineering	Thermo- dynamics and Heat Transfer	Digital and Analogue Electronics	Momentum     Transfer	Farm Structures
Civil     Engineering     Materials	Mechanical     Design	Machines and Drives	Heat Transfer	<ul> <li>Irrigation and water resources management industries</li> </ul>
Statics and Dynamics	Instrumentation and Control	Power Electronics	Mass Transfer	Environmental and Social Impact Assessment
Construction     Engineering	Vibrations	Electrical Power Generation and High Voltage Engineering	Separation Process	Food Engineering
<ul> <li>Surveying</li> </ul>	Solid Mechanics	Communication s     System	Process Design	Renewable Energy Industries

Document Title: Engineering Accreditation	n Standard			1
Document Level: Standard	Reference No: EAC/STD/01	Revision No.: <b>01</b>	Page: <b>56</b>	d
Approving Officer: Registrar/CEO	Effective Date: 1st A	UGUST,2024		ENGINEERS BOARD

<ul> <li>Water         Resources and         Hydrology</li> </ul>	Manufacturing/ Production	Power System     Analysis	<ul> <li>Process Control and Instrumentation</li> </ul>	36
<ul> <li>Highway and Transportation</li> </ul>	Electrical Power and Machines	Electronic Drives     and Applications	Safety and Environmental Protection	
Environmental     Studies	Electronics and Micro- processors	Electrical Energy     Utilization	Environmental Studies	
	Computer Aided Engineering		<ul> <li>Plant, Equipment Design, and Economics</li> </ul>	

Document Title: Engineering Accreditation	n Standard			
Document Level: Standard	Reference No: EAC/STD/01	Revision No.: <b>01</b>	Page: <b>57</b>	GO:
Approving Officer: Registrar/CEO	Effective Date: 1 <sup>ST</sup> AUGUST,2024			ENGINEERS BOARD OF KENYA

## a (ii) Engineering Applications

Emphasis on engineering applications in degree programmes aims to ensure that all engineering graduates have a sound understanding of up-to-date industrial practice, in particular:

## Civil Engineering:

- To appreciate the characteristics and structural behaviour of materials in a variety of user environments.
- ii) To be able to analyze and design structural components from these materials.
- iii) To appreciate the range of construction technology currently available and the skills which they require in people for their use.
- iv) To appreciate the cost aspects of material selection, construction methods, operation and maintenance in their interaction with design and the delivery of civil engineering facilities and services.
- v) To understand the whole process of industrial decision-making in design, manufacturing and use and how it is influenced not only by technical ideas but also by the practical constraints of financial and human resources as well as the business and social environment of engineering.

## Mechanical Engineering:

- i) To appreciate the characteristic behaviour of materials in a variety of user environments.
- ii) To appreciate the range of manufacturing systems and industry systems energy currently available and the skills which they require in people for their use.
- iii) To appreciate the cost aspects of material selection, manufacturing methods, operation and maintenance in their interaction with design and product marketing.
- iv) To understand the whole process of industrial decision-making in design, manufacturing and use and how it is influenced not only by technical ideas but also by the practical constraints of financial and human resources as well as the business and social environment of engineering.

Document Title: Engineering Accreditation	on Standard			
Document Level: Standard	Reference No: EAC/STD/01	Revision No.: <b>01</b>	Page: 58	GDS
Approving Officer: Registrar/CEO	Effective Date: 1 <sup>ST</sup> AUGUST,2024			ENGINEERS BOARD OF KENYA

## Electrical and Electronic Engineering:

- i) To appreciate the characteristic behaviour of materials in electrical and electronic systems.
- ii) To be able to analyze and design electrical and electronic systems from devices/components made of various materials.
- iii) To understand the concepts of generation, transmission and distribution of low and high voltage power.
- iv) To appreciate cost effectiveness and energy consumption of component/device equipment selection, manufacturing process and integration process.
- v) To appreciate the range of manufacturing methods currently available and the skills which they require in people for their use.
- vi) To understand the whole process of industrial decision making in design, manufacturing and use and how it is influenced not only by technical ideas but also by the practical constraints of financial and human resources and by the business and social environment of engineering.

## Chemical Engineering

- i) To appreciate the physical/chemical characteristics and properties of materials.
- ii) To be able to adopt these materials in process design and analysis.
- iii) To be able to apply chemical engineering principles to convert these materials to desirable products through process design and development
- iv) To understand the general sequence of processing steps for any given type of chemical process.
- v) To understand the selection or estimation of process operating conditions, selection of process equipment, maintenance and process troubleshooting.
- vi) To analyse the various types of unit operations and process equipment and to decide their

Document Title: Engineering Accreditation	on Standard			
Document Level: Standard	Reference No: EAC/STD/01	Revision No.:	Page: <b>59</b>	do:
Approving Officer: Registrar/CEO	Effective Date: 1st A	UGUST,2024		ENGINEERS BOARD OF KENYA

relative advantages or disadvantages on the basis of environment, economics, safety and operability.

vii) To understand the various process control schemes for the purpose of maintaining optimal process and plant operation, ensuring plant safety and appropriate handling of waste products.

#### b. Mathematics, Statistics and Computing

These courses should be studied to a level necessary to the engineering courses of the programme accordingly and with a bias towards application. The use of numerical methods of solution is encouraged, with an appreciation of the power and limitations of the computer for modelling engineering situations. Wherever practicable, it is preferred that mathematics, statistics and computing are taught in the context of their application to engineering problems and it follows that some mathematical techniques may be learnt within other subjects of the course. In addition to the use of computers as tools for calculation, analysis and data processing, the programme should introduce their application. in such area as given in the following table:

CIVIL	MECHANICAL	ELECTRICAL	CHEMICAL	AGRICULTURAL
Analysis and	Computer Aided Design and Manufacture	Mathematical Applications	Computer Analysis and Design	
Analysis for		Numerical	Economics Analysis for Decision Making	
Information		Computer Applications	Numerical Methods and Optimization	
Operational	Operational		Operational Research	

Document Title: Engineering Accreditation	on Standard			
Document Level: Standard	Reference No: EAC/STD/01	Revision No.:	Page: 60	do:
Approving Officer: Registrar/CEO	Effective Date: 1ST A	UGUST,2024		ENGINEERS BOARD OF KENYA

Research	Research		
Business and	On-line Control	Databases and	
Management	of Operations	Information Systems	
Systems	and Processes		
Statistical and			
Numerical			
Techniques			

#### Evaluating non-Traditional or Innovative Programme

It is a challenge for an accreditation process to promote innovation, experimentation and dissemination of good practice, while maintaining standards that can be objectively certified nationally and internationally. Innovation by its nature challenges existing wisdom, but not every programme that departs from existing norms can be said to be innovative or

desirable. All fundamentals required in the programme must be maintained. Since this Standard is silent on the broad or areas of these non-traditional programmes/disciplines, the IHL needs to conduct extensive Academic Curriculum benchmarking exercise with established IHLs conducting similar programme. A good External Examiner report will also help justify the adopted Academic Curriculum. The EAC accreditation system encourages innovation by minimising prescriptiveness in how the required outcomes are attained. Programme evaluation will always focus on the intent of the criteria and on the demonstrated capability of graduates to enter engineering practice at a professional level. Clearly however, a programme which departs radically from the methods normally thought necessary – for example, by employing only a fraction of the normal complement of staff – may expect a searching examination of method as well as outcomes.

The EAC and the Evaluation Panel are expected to be receptive to new approaches, and to use the best judgement available to evaluate the substance and merit of the programme. Continuing innovation and development can be expected to lead to restatement of the criteria and policy of accreditation.

Document Title: Engineering Accreditation	on Standard			
Document Level: Standard	Reference No: EAC/STD/01	Revision No.:	Page: <b>61</b>	go:
Approving Officer: Registrar/CEO	Effective Date: 1st A	UGUST,2024	-	ENGINEERS BOARD OF KENYA

# d. Range of Problem Identification and Solving

The range of complex problem solving is defined as follows:

No.	Attribute	Complex Engineering Problems have characteristic WP1 and some or all of WP2 to WP7:
WP1	Depth of Knowledge Required	Cannot be resolved without in-depth engineering knowledge at the level of one or more of WK3, WK4, WK5, WK6 or WK8 which allows a fundamentals-based, first principles analytical approach
WP2	Range of conflicting requirements	Involve wide-ranging and/or conflicting technical, non-technical issues (such as ethical, sustainability, legal, political, economic, societal) and consideration of future requirements
WP3	Depth of analysis required	Have no obvious solution and require abstract thinking, creativity and originality in analysis to formulate suitable models
WP4	Familiarity of issues	Involve infrequently encountered issues or novel problems
WP5	Extent of applicable codes	Address problems not encompassed by standards and codes of practice for professional engineering
WP6	Extent of stakeholder involvement and conflicting requirements	Involve collaboration across engineering disciplines, other fields, and/or diverse groups of stakeholders with widely varying needs
WP7	Interdependence	Address high level problems with many components or sub- problems that may require a systems approach

# e. Definition of Complex Engineering Activities

The range of complex engineering activities is defined as follows:

No	Attribute	Complex activities mean (engineering) activities or projects
		that have some or all of the following characteristics

Document Title: Engineering Accreditation	n Standard		
Document Level: Standard	Reference No: EAC/STD/01	Revision No.:	Page <b>62</b>
Approving Officer: Registrar/CEO	Effective Date: 1 <sup>ST</sup> A	UGUST,2024	



EA1	Range of resources	Involve the use of diverse resources including people, data
		and information, natural, financial and physical resources
		and appropriate technologies including analytical and/or
		design software
EA2	Level of interactions	Require optimal resolution of interactions between wide-
		ranging and/or conflicting technical, non-technical, and
		engineering issues
EA3	Innovation	Involve creative use of engineering principles, innovative
		solutions for a conscious purpose, and research-based
		knowledge
EA4	Consequences to socie	ty Have significant consequences in a range of contexts,
	and the environment	characterized by difficulty of prediction and mitigation
EA5	Familiarity	Can extend beyond previous experiences by applying
		principles-based approaches

# f. Knowledge & Attitude Profile

The curriculum shall encompass the knowledge and attitude profile as summarized in the table below:

No.	Knowledge & Attitude Profile
WK1	A systematic, theory-based understanding of the natural sciences applicable to the
	discipline and awareness of relevant social sciences
WK2	Conceptually-based <b>mathematics</b> , numerical analysis, data analysis, statistics and formal aspects of computer and information science to support detailed analysis and modelling applicable to the discipline.
WK3	A systematic, theory-based understanding of <b>engineering fundamentals</b> required in engineering discipline

Document Title: Engineering Accreditation	on Standard		
Document Level: Standard	Reference No: EAC/STD/01	Revision No.: <b>01</b>	Page:
Approving Officer: Registrar/CEO	Effective Date: 1 <sup>ST</sup> A	UGUST,2024	



WK4	Engineering specialist knowledge that provides theoretical frameworks and bodies of
	knowledge for the accepted practice areas in the engineering discipline; much is at the
	forefront of the discipline.
WK5	Knowledge, including efficient resource use, environmental impacts, whole-life cost, re-
	use of resources, net zero carbon, and similar concepts, that supports engineering design
	and operations in a practice area
WK6	Knowledge of engineering practice (technology) in the practice areas in the engineering
	discipline.
WK7	Knowledge of the role of engineering in society and identified issues in engineering
	practice in the discipline, such as the professional responsibility of an engineer to public
	safety and sustainable development
WK8	Engagement with selected knowledge in the current research literature of the discipline,
	awareness of the power of critical thinking and creative approaches to evaluate emerging
	issues
WK9	Ethics, inclusive behavior and conduct. Knowledge of professional ethics, responsibilities,
	and norms of engineering practice. Awareness of the need for diversity by reason of
	ethnicity, gender, age, physical ability etc. with mutual understanding and respect, and of
	inclusive attitudes

#### Notes:

- A programme that builds this type of knowledge and develops the attributes listed below is typically achieved in 5 years of study, depending on the level of students at entry.
- Represented by the 17 UN Sustainable Development Goals (UN-SDG)

Document Level: Standard	Reference No: EAC/STD/01	Revision No.: <b>01</b>	Page:	do:
Approving Officer: Registrar/CEO	Effective Date: 1 <sup>ST</sup> A	UGUST,2024		ENGINEERS BOARD OF KENY

#### APPENDIX B: CHECKLIST OF DOCUMENTS FOR ACCREDITATION AND RELEVANT INFORMATION

Please tick:	
Accreditation	
Approval of New Programme	

For accreditation of programme only, please fill out the table below for qualifying requirements:

### A. QUALIFYING REQUIREMENTS

NO	Description of the Qualifying Requirements	YES	NO
1	Outcome-based Education (OBE) Implementation.		
2	A minimum of 3400AUs of which 840 AUs are required for Mathematics and Basic Sciences, 2100AUs are attributed to Engineering Sciences and Design (including final year project and industrial attachments) and at least 450AUs Complimentary Studies. The programme covers 5 academic years. Industrial training must cover minimum of eight (8) weeks.		
3	Integrated Design Project (IDP)		$\top$
4	Final Year Project (FYP)		
5	Industrial training (minimum of 8 weeks)		
6	Full-time academic staff (minimum of eight (8) with at least three (3) Professional Engineers registered with the EBK		
7	Staff: student ratio 1: 25 or better.		$\top$
8	External examiner/advisor report. (one in every one academic year)		

Failure to meet any one of the qualifying requirements will mean that the programme shall not be assessed for accreditation, and the process shall stop here and no submission to the EAC can be made by the IHL. IHLs are advised to ensure all requirements are fulfilled by the programme before re-applying for accreditation.

Document Title: Engineering Accreditation Standard				
Document Level: Standard	Reference No: EAC/STD/01	Revision No.:	Page: 65	gbs
Approving Officer: Registrar/CEO	Effective Date: 1 <sup>ST</sup> A	UGUST,2024		ENGINEERS BOARD OF KENYA

For Approval of a New Programme, please fill respond to this Appendix wherever applicable

This Appendix contains checklist of Documents for Accreditation/Approval of New Programme and Relevant Information as follows:

- i) Chapter 4- 4.2 and 4.3: Self-Assessment Report (SAR) to be submitted through the Accreditation Management System (AMS).
- ii) Chapter 4- 4.4 and 4.5: Supporting documents to be submitted with the SAR.

#### A: GENERAL INFORMATION

No.	Refer to Section 4.3.1	To be filled out by the IHL where applicable	Checked by EAC
1	Name of IHL.		7
2	Address of IHL.		
3	Name of Faculty/School/Department.		7
4	Name and phone number of Staff to be Contacted.		
5	Programme for Accreditation.		
6	EAC Reference Number.		
7	Degree to be Awarded and Abbreviation.		
8	IHL Awarding the Degree: (if different from A1).		
9	Mode of Study (Full time)	11	
10	Duration of Programme (in years).		
11	Medium of Instruction of Programme Evaluated.		
12	Language Available for Reference Materials.		
13	IHL Academic Session.		
14	URL Address; IHL website.		

Document Level:	Reference No:	Revision No.:	Page:	
Standard	EAC/STD/01	01	66	GO:
Approving Officer: Registrar/CEO	Effective Date: 1 <sup>ST</sup> A	UGUST,2024		ENGINEERS BOARD OF KENYA

#### **B: PROGRAMME ACCREDITATION HISTORY**

No.	Refer to Section 4.3.1	To be filled out by the	Checked by
		IHL where applicable	EAC
1	Introduction Year of Programme.		
2	Year of ast Accreditation for this Programme.		
3	Conditions (if any) from previous accreditation.		
4	Action taken on the conditions above		
5	Major Changes (Self-Initiated) Reasons and Year of		
	Changes.	7	

C: CRITERION 1: PROGRAMME DESIGN

Refer to Sections 3.2.1

D: CRITERION 2: PROGRAMME CURRICULUM CONTENT

Refer to Sections 3.2.2

E: CRITERION 3: FACULTY STAFF ESTABLISHMENT

Refer to Sections 3.2.3

F: CRITERION 4: INSTITUTIONS TRAINING FACILITIES AND INFRASTRUCTURE

Refer to Sections 3.2.4

G: CRITERION 5: DURATION OF TRAINING

Refer to Sections 3.2.5

H: CRITERION 6: QUALITY ASSURANCE SYSTEMS

Refer to Sections 3.2.6 and 3.2.6.4

I: SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS

To be submitted as evidences with SAR.

Document Title: Engineering Accreditation Standard				
Document Level: Standard	Reference No: EAC/STD/01	Revision No.:	Page: <b>67</b>	do:
Approving Officer: Registrar/CEO	Effective Date: 1st A	UGUST,2024		ENGINEERS BOARD OF KENYA

Ref. item	Supporting documents required	Indicate the location of these items in the digital form	Checked by evaluation panel
A1 -A14	Official publications relating to the Faculty/School/Department/ Programme, undergraduate prospectus and other information accessible through website.		
B1 -B5	Programme's previous accreditation history, reports, relevant letters, and other relevant documents.		
C1	Documented evidences of publication or dissemination of vision and mission statements.		
C2	Documented evidences of publication or dissemination of PEO statements.		
C4	Documented evidences of publication of PEO elements/performance indicators, achievement criteria, and performance targets.		
C5	Sample responded questionnaires/survey forms and/or other tools used to establish/formulate/define PEO elements/performance indicators, and review the PEOs.		
C6	Sample responded questionnaires/survey forms and/or other tools used to evaluate achievement of the PEOs.		
C8	Documented evidences of how the processes and results obtained from the processes resulted in the CQI of the programme.		
	Documented evidences such as minutes of meetings, training lists and documents, workshop reports, briefing notes, reminders, relevant forms, and internal communications, instructions, etc. of the processes related to PEOs, and the involvement of various internal and external stakeholders in these processes to support claims made in this section		

Document Level: Standard	Reference No: EAC/STD/01	Revision No.: <b>01</b>	Page: <b>68</b>	do:
Approving Officer: Registrar/CEO	Effective Date: 1ST A	UGUST,2024	-	ENGINEERS BOARD OF KENY/

D1	Documented evidences of publication or	
	dissemination of PO statements.	
D4	Documented evidences of publication or dissemination of definition of PO elements/performance indicators.	
	Sample responded questionnaires/survey	
D5	forms and/or other tools used to establish/formulate/define PO	
	elements/performance indicators, and review of the POs.	
D6	Documented evidences of publication or dissemination of the OBE model adopted to deliver, assess and evaluate achievement of the POs.	
	PO box/tray' for each PO explicitly, to cover from	
D6 -	mapping of courses to the selected POs, until	
D9	the determination of the PO achievements (based the adopted model).	
D10	Documented evidences of established PO management system (computer software etc.).	
	Documented evidences such as minutes of meetings, training lists and documents, workshop reports, briefing notes, reminders,	
D11	relevant forms, and internal communications, instructions, etc. of the processes related to POs, and the involvement of various internal and	
	external stakeholders in these processes to support claims made in this section.	
	Documented evidences of publication or	
E3	dissemination of overall 'Courses to POs' mapping matrix.	
E5	Documented evidences of publication or dissemination of the elaboration/definition of CPS, CEA and Knowledge Profile.	

Document Title: Engineering Accreditation Standard				
Document Level: Standard	Reference No: EAC/STD/01	Revision No.: <b>01</b>	Page: <b>69</b>	do:
Approving Officer: Registrar/CEO	Effective Date: 1st A	UGUST,2024		ENGINEERS BOARD OF KENYA

	List of titles of experiments in the laboratory	1
E6	and documented evidences showing open-	
	ended laboratory activities.	
E7	List of industrial training companies.	
E8	List of exposure to professional	
	practice activities and sample students'	
	reports.	
E9	List of final-year project titles.	
	Design (capstone) project's synopsis and	
E10	learning outcomes and Course to Programme	
	Outcomes matrix.	
	Documented evidences showing programme	
E11	implementation of the 'Condition for Passing	
	Courses'.	
	Provide documented evidences such as	
	minutes of meetings, training lists and	
	documents, workshop reports, briefing notes,	
	reminders, relevant forms, and internal	
E12	communications, instructions, etc. of the	
	processes related to Academic Curriculum, and	
	the involvement of various	
	internal and external stakeholders in	
	these processes to support claims made in this	
	section.	
F1	Documented evidences showing the students	
	admission requirements to the programme.	
F2	Documented evidences showing the policies	
	and processes for AU transfer/exemption.	
F3	Documented evidences showing	
	available	
	students' counselling services.	
	Documented evidences showing formal or	
F4	informal feedback platform/channel to obtain	1
	students feedback and suggestions for further	
	programme improvement.	

Document Title: Engineering Accreditation	on Standard			1
Document Level: Standard	Reference No: EAC/STD/01	Revision No.:	Page: <b>70</b>	G
Approving Officer: Registrar/CEO	Effective Date: 1st A	UGUST,2024		ENGINEERS BOARD



	Documented evidences showing students'	
	involvement in student organisations and	
	relevant professional engineering bodies that	
F6	provide experience in management and	
	governance, representation in education and	
	related matters, non-academic or co-curricular	
	activities, and social activities.	
	Provide documented evidences showing	
	students' performance in relation to PO from	
F8	an overall holistic perspective, from both	
	curricular and co-curricular activities, such as	
	participating in design competition,	
	public speaking activities, etc.	

	Documented evidences of staff training to		
	ensure real understanding and implementation		
G1	of OBE, as well as other		
	training such as effective communication skills,		
	teamwork, leadership, etc.		
	Documented evidences showing		
	participation of academic staff in professional		
G5	training and qualifications, and programme's		
	projection/plan on		
	professional training schemes for academic		
	staff.		
	Documented evidences showing		
G6	participation of academic staff in consultancy		
	activities.		
	Documented evidences showing		
G7	participation of academic staff in research	(66	
	and development activities.		
	Documented evidences of procedures and		
H5	monitoring of health and safety aspects of		
	facilities including lecture halls, laboratories,		
	equipment, etc.		

Document Title: Engineering Accreditation	on Standard			<b>A</b>
Document Level: Standard	Reference No: EAC/STD/01	Revision No.: <b>01</b>	Page: <b>71</b>	GOS
Approving Officer: Registrar/CEO	Effective Date: 1ST A	UGUST,2024		ENGINEERS BOARD OF KENYA

Н6	Documented evidences of maintenance and calibration of facilities and equipment/apparatus in the laboratories or elsewhere.	
11 - 16	Documented evidences of (not limited to): QMS and organisational structure. available policies. Standard Operating Procedures (SOP), or ISO or other certifications. relevant files (including course files) and documentations. relevant minutes of meeting (MOM) related to QMS, such as from IAP's meetings, Quality Committee meeting, etc. management system for safety, health and environment. letters of appointment of IAP, External Examiner(s), and committee members, etc. External Examiners' reports. benchmarking report/s. Provide responses to close the loop of feedback	
	from stakeholders.	

Document Title: Engineering Accreditation	on Standard			
Document Level: Standard	Reference No: EAC/STD/01	Revision No.: <b>01</b>	Page: <b>72</b>	cbs
Approving Officer: Registrar/CEO	Effective Date: 1st A	UGUST,2024		ENGINEERS BOARD OF KENYA

APPENDIX C:	
Name of IHL:	Evaluation Panel Report
Programme for Accreditation:	
General Remarks	

# A: QUALIFYING REQUIREMENTS

No.	Description		Remark
1	Outcome-based Education (OBE) implementation	Yes	No
2	Minimum 3400 AUs of which 2100 AUs must be engineering subjects	Yes	No
3	Integrated Design Project	Yes	No
4	Final Year Project	Yes	No
5	Industrial training (minimum of eight (8) weeks)	Yes	No
6	Full-time academic staff (minimum of six (8) with at least three (3)  Professional Engineers registered with the EBK or equivalent	Yes	No
7	Teaching Staff: student ratio of 1:25 or better	Yes	No

	Title: Engineering Accreditation	Standard			
Document <b>Standard</b>		Reference No: Revision No.: EAC/STD/01 01		Page: <b>73</b>	do
Approving Officer: Registrar/CEO		Effective Date: 1ST A	UGUST,2024		ENGINEERS BOARD OF K
8	External examiner/advisor re	eport. (One in every two ac	ademic years)	es	No
В:	Assessment Criteria				
1	. CRITERION 1: PROGRAMM	E DESIGN (Programme	Educational Object	tives an	d Programme
	Outcomes) as per section	3.2.1 and 4.3.2			
	1.1. General Observation	ns:			
	1.1. General Observation	ns:			
	1.1. General Observation  Observation on Programme		s		
			s		

### Observation on Processes and Attainment:

### Explain:

- a. Where is each PO assessed?
- b. How is each PO assessed?
- c. What is the level of attainment of each PO?

Obs	Observation on Stakeholder Involvement:						

Document Level: <b>Standard</b>	Reference No: EAC/STD/01	Revision No.: <b>01</b>	Page: <b>74</b>	do
Approving Officer: Registrar/CEO	Effective Date: 1 <sup>ST</sup> A	UGUST,2024		ENGINEERS BOARD OF KENY

Overall Comments/Remarks:	*Satisfactory/Unsatisfactory
Strength	
Weakness	
Concern	
Opportunity for Improvement	

Document Level:	Reference No:	Revision No.:	Page:	
Standard	EAC/STD/01	01	75	GO:
Approving Officer: Registrar/CEO	Effective Date: 1ST A	ENGINEERS BOARD OF KENYA		

1 10	
T ACC	creditation Units, (AUs)
a)	Total number of AUs hours
b)	Number of AUs hours for:
	Mathematics & Basic Sciences:
	Engineering Sciences and Engineering Design: Complementary Studies:
	· ·
Curr	iculum
Dro	gramme Structure, Course Contents, and Balanced Curriculum
Pro	Pramme Structure, Course Contents, and Balanced Curriculum
	gramme del detare, de dise dentente, una Balancea eumediam
	gramme del detare, del del contente, una Balancea cumediam
Prog	gramme Delivery and Assessment Method
Prog	
Prog	gramme Delivery and Assessment Method
Prog	gramme Delivery and Assessment Method
Prog	gramme Delivery and Assessment Method  DELIVERY
	gramme Delivery and Assessment Method  DELIVERY  ASSESSMENT
	gramme Delivery and Assessment Method  DELIVERY
	gramme Delivery and Assessment Method  DELIVERY  ASSESSMENT
	gramme Delivery and Assessment Method  DELIVERY  ASSESSMENT

Document Title: Eng	gineering Accreditation Stan	dard			
Document Level: Standard		Reference No: EAC/STD/01	Revision No.: <b>01</b>	Page: <b>76</b>	cb:
Approving Officer: R	Registrar/CEO	Effective Date: 1 <sup>ST</sup> AU	GUST,2024		ENGINEERS BOARD OF KENYA
(iv) Integr	ated Design Project				
,					
(v) Final-	Year Project				
l	-				
(vi) Indust	rial Training/Attachment				
	·				
(vii)Expos	ure to Professional Engine	eering Practice			

Document Title: Engineering Accreditation				
Document Level: Standard	Reference No: EAC/STD/01	Revision No.: <b>01</b>	Page: <b>77</b>	do:
Approving Officer: Registrar/CEO	Effective Date: 1st A	ENGINEERS BOARD OF KENYA		

Overall Comments/Re	marks:
---------------------	--------

# \*Satisfactory/Unsatisfactory

Strength	
Weakness	
Concern	
Opportunity for	
Improvement	

Document Title: Engineering Accreditation	on Standard			
Document Level: Standard	Reference No: EAC/STD/01	Revision No.: <b>01</b>	Page: <b>78</b>	cb(
Approving Officer: Registrar/CEO	Effective Date: 1st AUGUST,2024			ENGINEERS BOARD OF KENYA

# 3. CRITERION 3: FACULTY STAFF ESTABLISHMENT (as per section 3.2.3 and 4.3.4)

## (i) Teaching Staff

			< 1 YEAR	1-5 YEARS	6-10 YEARS	> 10 YEARS
Number	of	teaching	staff			
interviewe	d					

## (ii) Number and Competency of Teaching staff

Total number of academic staff teaching the programme	
All eligible staff are registered with EBK	YES NO
	Remarks:
Academic staff are sufficient in number and competencies to cover all curricular areas.	YES NO
	Remarks:
Academic staff have the education, diversity of background, engineering experience, teaching experience.	YES NO
	Remarks:
Academic staff have the ability to communicate, enthusiasm for developing more effective programmes, level of	YES NO
scholarship.	Remarks:

cument Title: Engineering Accreditation cument Level:	Reference No:	Revision No.:	Page:	
andard	EAC/STD/01			G
proving Officer: Registrar/CEO	Effective Date: 1ST Al	JGUST,2024		ENGINEERS BOARD
Academic staff participa	te in professional			
societies and attainmen	t of Professional	YES	NO	
Engineer status or as Corp	orate Members of			
Learned Bodies	Rema	rks:		
Total number of acader	mic staff teaching the	6		
programme with P.Eng. qu	Service designation of the Contract of the Con			
Total number of acade qualification.	emic staff with PhD			
Total number of acaden qualification.	nic staff with Masters			
(iv) Research/publication/con	sultancy			
Academic staff are given of	opportunities to conduct			
research and do consultar	ncy.	YES	NO	
	F	Remarks:		
(v) Industrial involvement		e		
Academic staff are giver	n provision to undergo			
industrial attachment to			NO	

Remarks:

qualification.

ocument Title: Engineering Accreditation Sta	andard			
ment Level: Reference No: Revision No.: EAC/STD/01 01		Page: <b>80</b>	cb:	
proving Officer: Registrar/CEO	Effective Date: 1st AU	GUST,2024		ENGINEERS BOARD OF KENYA
Academic staff are involved professional/learned bodies towards the development of the staff are involved towards.	providing services	YES		NO
		Remarks:		
(vi) Teaching load/contact hours				
Average teaching hours per w		YES Remarks:	NO	
(vii) Motivation and enthusiasm  Academic staff know that II	HL has adequate poli	cies and		
mechanisms for retaining staff.	and rewarding well-	qualified YES Remark	s:	NO
Academic staff are satisfied environment.	and motivated with th	neir work YES	s:	NO
(viii) Use of lecturers from in	ndustry/public bodies	'		
Academic staff organize i enhancing students' learning	Title 1990	YES	NO	
8		Remarks:		

Document Title: Engineering Accreditation	on Standard			
Document Level: Standard	Reference No: EAC/STD/01	Revision No.:	Page: <b>81</b>	do:
Approving Officer: Registrar/CEO	Effective Date: 1 <sup>ST</sup> AUGUST,2024			ENGINEERS BOARD OF KENYA
(ix) Implementation of the Ou	itcome-Rased approach	to education		
(ix) implementation of the oc	itcome-based approach	to education		
Academic staff unders	and and implement OBE	in		
the teaching and learn	ing of the programme	YES	NO	0

121	Support Staff /	aboratory and	Administration)	

	< 1 YEAR	1-5 YEARS	6-10 YEARS	> 10 YEARS
Number of support staff interviewed				1

Remarks:

# (xi) Qualification and experience

Laboratory staff are qualified.	YES	NO
	Remarks:	
Total number of laboratory staff.		
Total number of administrative staff.		n

## (xii)Adequacy of support staff

Laboratory staff adequacy is satisfactory (ideally 1 staff to 2 laboratories)	YES	NO
	Remarks:	

Document Title: Engineering Accreditate	ion Standard			
Document Level: Standard	Reference No: EAC/STD/01	Revision No.:	Page:	do
Approving Officer: Registrar/CEO	Effective Date: 1 <sup>ST</sup> AU	GUST,2024		ENGINEERS BOARD OF K
Administrative staff ad support programme's	STATE OF THE PROPERTY OF THE P	YES Remarks:	]	NO
(xiii) Development of	Staff			
Staff development				
systematically plan sponsorship for postgr	elopment: The IHL has and provide appropriate aduate studies/ sabbatical raining towards P. Eng.	YES Remarks:	NO	
10 A T 14 A	elopment: The IHL has and provide appropriate es.			2
Academic staff develor appropriate assistar professional members				
	support staff: The ded the opportunities for eir competencies through	YES Remarks:	NO	

staff:

support

The

Laboratory/Technical

ocument Title:	Engineering Accreditation S	Standard			
ocument Level <b>tandard</b>	:	Reference No: EAC/STD/01	Revision No.:	Page:	do
pproving Office	r: Registrar/CEO	Effective Date: 1 <sup>ST</sup> Al	JGUST,2024		ENGINEERS BOARD OF
рі	rogramme has provided s	safety training.			
(xiv)	Staff assessment				
(,					
	nnual assessment of standerstood.	,	YES Remarks:	NO	
As	ssessment takes into ac	count participation in			
pr	ofessional, academic	and other relevant			
bo	odies as well as commun	ity involvement.			
ev	ne programme establishe valuation/feedback by	students on matters	YES		10
re	levant to the academic e	Particle (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1			
			Remarks:	(7	
(xv)Aca	demic staff: student ratio	)			
Ra	atio is 1:40 or bette	r for the period of			
	sessment.		YES	NO	

Remarks:

Document 7	Title: Engineering Accreditation Star	ndard			
Document I Standard	Level:	Reference No: EAC/STD/01	Revision No.: <b>01</b>	Page: <b>84</b>	cb:
Approving (	Officer: Registrar/CEO	Effective Date: 1st AL	IGUST,2024		ENGINEERS BOARD OF KE
Overa	Il Comments/Remarks: *5	Satisfactory/Unsatisf	factory		
	Strength				
	Weakness				
	Concern				
	Opportunity for Improvement				
<b>4.</b> i)	CRITERION 4: INSTITUTIONS TO 3.2.4 and 4.3.5)  Lecture rooms - quantity provide			TURE 9 a	s per section
	Lecture rooms and theatres satisfactory condition equipped facilities and equipment.	ped with learning		NO	
	Maintenance of facilities and proper order and properly docu	imented.	YES Remarks:		NO
	Safety and health of the satisfactory.	lecture room is	YES		NO
ii)	Laboratory/workshop - student		Remarks: ipment		

Number of laboratories/workshops available.

Document Title: Engineering Accreditation	on Standard			
Document Level: Standard	Reference No: EAC/STD/01	Revision No.: <b>01</b>	Page: 85	do:
Approving Officer: Registrar/CEO	Effective Date: 1st A	UGUST,2024		ENGINEERS BOARD OF KENYA

Laboratories/Workshops provided are in satisfactory condition equipped with adequate equipment to facilitate learning of modern engineering practice. Equipment and testing bays to accommodate FYP, IDP, mini projects and other activities are adequate and satisfactory.	YES NO Remarks:
Maintenance of facilities and equipment are in proper order and properly documented. Safety and health practice of the laboratory/ workshop is	YES NO
satisfactory.	Remarks:

# iii) ICT/computer laboratory - adequacy of software and computers

IT/computer laboratories provided are in YES NO
satisfactory condition equipped with up-to-date
computing and software facilities including Remarks:
internet access and online platforms.
Engineering original software to accommodate
analysis & design, FYP and IDP's activities and
simulation are adequate and satisfactory.
Maintenance of facilities and equipment are in
proper order and properly documented.
YES
Safety and health practice of the IT/computer
aboratory is satisfactory. Remarks:

Document Title: Engineering Accreditation	on Standard			
Document Level: Standard	Reference No: EAC/STD/01	Revision No.:	Page: 86	do:
Approving Officer: Registrar/CEO	Effective Date: 1st A	UGUST,2024		ENGINEERS BOARD OF KENYA

iv) Library/resource centre - quality and quantity of books, journals, and multimedia

Library/resource centre - quanty and quantity or books, journ	iais, and materioaia
Number of books and related materials for the programme are satisfactory. Number of electronic/digital books and references for the Remarks: programme are satisfactory.	NO
Learning facilities and spaces are satisfactory.  Discussion rooms are available and satisfactory.  Opening hours are conducive to students.  Remarks:	NO
Maintenance of facilities and equipment are in proper order and properly documented. Safety and health practice of the library is satisfactory. Remarks:	NO NO
Recreation facilities	
The IHL provides a lively and dynamic atmosphere for the students:  The IHL provides student accommodations.  The IHL provides sport and recreational centres.  The IHL provides health centre.  The IHL provides student centre (including surau/masjid).  The IHL provides eateries/cafe.	NO

Document Title: Engineering Accreditation				
Document Level: Standard	Reference No: EAC/STD/01	Revision No.:	Page: <b>87</b>	cb:
Approving Officer: Registrar/CEO	Effective Date: 1ST A	UGUST,2024		ENGINEERS BOARD OF KENYA

Maintenance of faciliti	es and equipment are in
proper order and prope	rly documented.
V 300 1000 Aven	YES
Safety and health pra	ctice of the facilities are
satisfactory.	Remarks:
satisfactory.	Remarks:
*	
satisfactory.  Comments/Remarks:	*Satisfactory/Unsatisfactory
*	
Comments/Remarks:	
Comments/Remarks: Strength Weakness	
Comments/Remarks:	

Document Title: Engineering Accreditation	on Standard			<b>A</b>
Document Level: Standard	Reference No: EAC/STD/01	Revision No.:	Page: 88	cb:
Approving Officer: Registrar/CEO	Effective Date: 1ST A	UGUST,2024		ENGINEERS BOARD OF KENYA

5.	CRITERION 5: DURATION OF TRAINING(as per section 3.2.5 and 4.3.6)
The de	egree programme leading to the award
	engineering degree shall be five (5) YES NO
years.	
-	
6.	CRITERION 6: QUALITY ASSURANCE SYSTEMS (as per section 3.2.6 and 4.3.7)
Institu	tional Support, Operating Environment, and Financial Resources
i)	Sufficient to assure quality and continuity of the programme
	The institutional support and financial
	resources are sufficient to ensure YES NO
	programme quality and continuity. Support
	from external bodies is observed. Explain:
ii)	Sufficient to attract and retain well-qualified teaching and support staff
	The institutional support and financial
	resources are sufficient for the programme YES NO
	to attract and retain well-qualified academic
	(take note of employing international Explain:
	academic staff, to comply with EBK
	regulation to register) and support staff.

Document Title: Engineering Accreditation	on Standard			
Document Level: Standard	Reference No: EAC/STD/01	Revision No.: <b>01</b>	Page: 89	do:
Approving Officer: Registrar/CEO	Effective Date: 1st A	UGUST,2024		ENGINEERS BOARD OF KENYA

iii) Sufficient to acquire, maintain, and operate facilities and equipment

The institutional support and financial resources are sufficient for the programme to acquire, maintain and operate facilities	
	Explain:

## Programme Quality Management and Planning

i) System for programme planning, curriculum development, and regular review of curriculum and content

There are established systems towards the	
improvement of overall programme quality.  There are proper and sufficient YES	S NO
policies/rules/regulations/procedures in	
the Department/Faculty or IHL and properly Expla	in:
implemented including benchmarking and	
cqı.	

### External Assessment's Report and Advisory System

i) External examiners report and how these are being used for quality improvement

EE report 1 in every 2 years.	YES	NO
	Remarks:	

Document	Title: Engineering Accreditation St	andard				
Document Level: Standard  Approving Officer: Registrar/CEO		Reference No: EAC/STD/01		Revision No.: <b>01</b>	Page: 90	ch
		Effective Date	1ST AUGL	JST,2024		ENGINEERS BOARD OF
ii)	Advisory panel from industrie	es and other rele	evant sta	keholders		
	Industrial Advisory Panel is Minutes of meeting 1 in even		YES	ks:	NO	
<b>Qualit</b>	y <b>Assurance</b> System for student admission	n and teaching	and learr	ning		
- 7	The programme has establis system for student admissio and learning to assure the a	shed a working n and teaching		NO		
	the programme outcomes.		Explain:			
ii)	System of assessment and eincluding preparation and mo				dustrial	training, etc.
	The programme has establish system for examination regulincluding preparation, model assessment of examination projects, industrial training a forms of learning delivery.	lations ration and papers,	YES Explain	NO	0	

Document Title: Engineering Accreditation	on Standard			
Document Level: Standard	Reference No: EAC/STD/01	Revision No.:	Page: 91	cb:
Approving Officer: Registrar/CEO	Effective Date: 1st A	UGUST,2024		ENGINEERS BOARD OF KENYA

## Safe

oroving Officer: Registra	r/CEO	Effective Date: 1st	AUGUST,2024		ENGINEERS BOARD OF KI
Safety, Health and I	Environment				
i) System for n	nanaging and imple	ementation of sa	fety, health and (	environment	
			ES ES	NO	
		Exp	olain:		
Student Admission					
i) Entry require	ments (Academic)				
programme	ntering (entry red nave GOOD PRINC and natural sc	CIPAL PASSES in iences or their	YES	NO	
meet the al	ensured that stude bove criteria, und ogrammes in orde ntry qualification.	lertake suitable er to attain the	YES		NO
ii) Transfer Poli	cy/Selection Proce	edures/Appropri	ateness of arran	gements fo	r Exemptions
Programme hexemptions.	as clear policies or		YES Remarks:	NO	

Document Level: Standard  Reference No: EAC/STD/01  Revision No.: 92  Approving Officer: Registrar/CEO  Effective Date: 1 <sup>ST</sup> AUGUST,2024	NEERS BOARD OF
Approving Officer: Registrar/CEO Effective Date: 1ST AUGUST,2024	NEERS BOARD OF
Programme has put in place the mechanism for	
AU transfer/AU exemption to allow alternative YES NO	
educational pathways.	e e
A maximum of 30% of the total AU hours is Remarks:	
allowed for vertical AU transfer/AU exemption	
(Diploma to Bachelor Degree).	
A maximum of 50% of the total AU hours is	
allowed for lateral AU transfer/AU exemption	
(Bachelor to Bachelor Degree)	
(Bachelol to Bachelol Begree)	
Student Development	
A CONTROL CONT	R 5
Number of students interviewed	
i) Student counselling	
The IHL has counselling unit/section YES NO	
/department with qualified counsellor(s). Remarks:	
, aspartment man quantos sounces (e),	
	$\neg$
Programme monitors and evaluates student YES NO	
performance, advice and counsel students	
regarding academic and career matters, as well Remarks:	
as provide assistance in handling health,	
financial, stress, emotional and spiritual	
problems.	

ocument of tandard	Title: Engineering Accreditation Star Level:	Reference No: EAC/STD/01	Revision No.:	Page: 93	do
pproving (	Officer: Registrar/CEO	Effective Date: 1 <sup>ST</sup> AU	IGUST,2024		ENGINEERS BOARD OF
	Programme has academic system.		rES marks:	NO	
ii)	Workload				
	Students workload is not burde		YES marks:	N	NO
·	Enthusiasm and motivation	1		a	
,	The teaching-learning environn		YES emarks:	NO	
	Students have avenues to prov suggestions about the program	nme	YES marks:		NO
iv)	Co-curricular activities				
	Programme ACTIVELY encorparticipation in activities that programme in management and governance		YES	NO	

Remarks:

Document Title: Engineering Accreditation	on Standard			
Document Level: Standard	Reference No: EAC/STD/01	Revision No.: <b>01</b>	Page: 94	co:
Approving Officer: Registrar/CEO	Effective Date: 1 <sup>ST</sup> A	UGUST,2024		ENGINEERS BOARD OF KENYA

		y students	
Overall Comments/Remai	ks: *Sati	sfactory/Unsatisfac	ctory
Strength		<u>*</u>	
Weakness			
Concern			
Opportunity for			

Document Title: Engineering Accreditation	on Standard			
Document Level: Standard	Reference No: EAC/STD/01	Revision No.:	Page: 95	do:
Approving Officer: Registrar/CEO	Effective Date: 1st A	UGUST,2024		ENGINEERS BOARD OF KENYA

#### **EVALUATION PANEL ASSESSMENT REPORT SUMMARY**

## Overall Comments/Remarks:

MAJOR CONCERN DBE
DRE
JDL .
a.
).
ACADEMIC CURRICULUM
i.
).
MINOR CONCERN
FACILITIES
i.
).
QMS .
<b>.</b>
es established
2 1 2

	le: Engineering Accreditation Sta		T 5 W	D- 4	1
ument Le ndard	vel:	Reference No: EAC/STD/01	Revision No.:	Page: <b>96</b>	co:
roving Off	icer: Registrar/CEO	Effective Date: 1 <sup>ST</sup> AU	GUST,2024		ENGINEERS BOARD OF KEN
Facu	lty:				
Evalua	ation Panel's recommendation	1	Graduating Years		
	Full Accreditation (5 years)				
	Accreditation (5 year report/interim visit within 2				
	Condition(s) to meet/Recor	mmendation for furth	er improvement		
	Accreditation (2 years)				
	Condition(s) to meet/Recor	nmendation for furth	er improvement		
	Decline/Defer Accreditation	1	9		
	Comments				
Prepar	red and submitted by Evaluat	ion Panel:	Signa	ture	
HEAD					
Memb	er:				
Memb	er:				

Document Title: Engineering Accreditation	on Standard			
Document Level: Standard	Reference No: EAC/STD/01	Revision No.:	Page: 97	go:
Approving Officer: Registrar/CEO	Effective Date: 1ST A	UGUST,2024		ENGINEERS BOARD OF KENY

ACTION BY ENGINEERING ACCRE	DITATION COM	IMITTEE (EAC)	
Date Received by the EAC:			
Comments by the EAC:			
Recommendation by EAC			
Concurs with Evaluation Panel	* Yes/No		

If not agreeable with Evaluation Panel's recommendation, EAC recommendations are:

Please Cross (X)	EAC Recommendations	Graduating Years					
	Full Accreditation (5 years)	E.g. 2021, 2022, 2023, 2024, and 2025.					
	Accreditation (2 years)	.Eg. 2021, 2022					
Condition(s)	Condition(s) to meet/Recommendation for f	urther improvement					
	Decline/Defer Accreditation	ж.					
	Reasons						
	Condition(s) to meet						

Document Title: Engineering Accreditation	on Standard			
Document Level: Standard	Reference No: EAC/STD/01	Revision No.: <b>01</b>	Page: 98	GDS
Approving Officer: Registrar/CEO	Effective Date: 1st AUGUST,2024			ENGINEERS BOARD OF KENYA

ACTION BY SECRETARIAT				
Date of Transmission of decision to EBK				
Date of Transmission to CUE				
Date of Transmission of decision to MOE				
Date of Issue of Accreditation Certificate				

Document Title: Engineering Accreditati Document Level: Standard	Reference No: EAC/STD/O1	Revision No.:	Page: <b>107</b>
Approving Officer: Registrar/CEO	Effective Date: 1st /	AUGUST,2024	




#### Appendix D: External Examiner/Advisor Report

The report should consider assessing the following:

- i) Programme curriculum.
- ii) OBE implementation and achievement of the POs.
- iii) The quality of staff assigned to the programme.
- iv) Student workload and their involvement in extra-curricular activities.
- v) Quality of examination papers as well as other coursework components.
- vi) Quality management system of the programme.
- vii) Facilities that support the programme.


Document Level: Standard	Reference No: EAC/STD/01	Revision No.: <b>01</b>	Page: <b>108</b>	do
Approving Officer: Registrar/CEO	Effective Date: 1ST A	AUGUST,2024		ENGINEERS BOARD OF KEN

Appendix E: Process Flow Chart for Application of Accreditation And Approval Of Engineering Programmes



Document Title: Engineering Accreditation	on Standard		
Document Level: Standard	Reference No: EAC/STD/01	Revision No.: <b>01</b>	Page: <b>109</b>
Approving Officer: Registrar/CEO	Effective Date: 1st /	AUGUST,2024	





Document Title: Engineering Accreditati	on Standard				
Document Level: Standard	Reference No: EAC/STD/01	Revision No.: <b>01</b>	Page: <b>110</b>	4	~/
Approving Officer: Registrar/CEO	Effective Date: 1ST	AUGUST,2024		ENGINEERS BO	OARD OF KENYA

## APPENDIX F: SAMPLE TABLE TEMPLATES FOR SELF-ASSESSMENT REPORT (SAR)

TABLE 1 Course to PO Matrix (SAMPLE)

		Core/	Prog	ramme	Outcon	nes							
Code	Course	Elective	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
XX1A	Subject 1	Core	7										
XX1B	Subject 2	Core	/								1		
XX1C	Subject 3	Core	/	/									
XX1C XX1D XX1E XX1F XX1G XX1H XX1I XX2A XX2A XX2B XX2C XX2D XX2E XX2C XX2C	Subject 4	Core	/		/			/					
XX1E	Subject 5	Core						/		/			
XX1F	Subject 6	Core	1								/		
XX1G	Subject 7	Core	/	7									
XX1H	Subject 8	Core	/										
XX1I	Subject 9	Core		/		/							
XX1J	Subject 10	Core		/	/			/			/	/	
XX2A	Subject 1	Core									/	/	
XX2B	Subject 2	Core		/		/							
XX2C	Subject 3	Core	/										1
XX2D	Subject 4	Core	1				$\top$		/	/			$\top$
XX2E	Subject 5	Core		/	1			/			/	/	$\top$
XX2F	Subject 6	Core		/	/	/	/	/			/		/
XX2G	Subject 7	Elective	1										7
XX2H	Subject 8	Elective							/	/			
XX2I	Subject 9	Elective	/			/							1
XX2J	Subject 10	Elective			/			/	/				
XX2A	Subject 11	Elective		1		1							

Document Title: Engineering Accreditation Standard					
Document Level:	Reference No:	Revision No.:	Page:		
Standard	EAC/STD/01	01	111	7	1
Approving Officer: Registrar/CEO	Effective Date: 1st AUGUST,2024	AUGUST,2024		D	
				ENGINEERS B	GINEERS BOARD OF KENYA

TABLE 2 Distribution of Engineering Courses for an Engineering Programme (SAMPLE)

									-		
AUs			е	e	m	e	m	m			
		Others eg. assessment		t		,	T	ı		(X	
		Self- Learning	XXX	1	4	,					
. еи		Tutorial	1	28	ı						
Student Learning Time	<b>100</b>	PBL/Design	1	ı	ı		28				
Stuc	Guided Learning	Project	1	ı	28		I.	t			
	Gui	Lab/Workshop	28	ı				28			
		Lecture	28	28	28	42	14	16			
Course	ıype		Core	Core	Core	Core	Core	Core	Elective	Elective	Elective
Course			Subject 1	Subject 2	Subject 3	Subject 4	Subject 5	Subject 6	Elective I	Elective II	Elective III
Course	e Code		XXXX	XXXY	XXXZ	WW.	XXXX	YYYZ	FGHI	HUK	UKL
Groupings			Broad Area 1			Broad Area 2			Elective	courses	

Sta	Document Level: Standard	el:			Reference No: EAC/STD/01	Revision No.: <b>01</b>	Page: <b>112</b>	1
Api	oroving Offi	Approving Officer: Registrar/CEO	/CE0		Effective Date: 1st AUGUST, 2024	UGUST,2024		ENGINEERS BOARD OF KENYA
				TOTAL AUS				
Industrial Training	ABCD	Industrial Training	Core	10 Weeks				Ŋ
Final Year	BCDE	Project I	Core	Thesis				
Project	EFGH	Project II	Core	Thesis				
			TOTAL AUS	TOTAL AUS FOR ENGINEERING COURSES				

Document Level: Standard Standard Approving Officer: Registrar/CEO  Reference No: Revision No.: Page: 113  Approving Officer: Registrar/CEO	Document Title: Engineering Accreditation Standard				
Officer: Registrar/CEO Effective Date: 1st AUGUST, 2024	Document Level:	Reference No:	Revision No.:	Page:	
7	Standard	EAC/STD/01	07	113	1
	Approving Officer: Registrar/CEO	Effective Date: 1st AUGU	ST,2024		

TABLE 3 List of Elective Courses according to Areas of Field of Specialization (if applicable)

Document Title: Engineering Accreditation Standard				
Document Level:	Reference No:	Revision No.:	Page:	
Oralidatu	EAC/SID/OI	TO	114	1
Approving Officer: Registrar/CEO	Effective Date: 1st AUGUST,2024	AUGUST,2024		
				ENGINEERS BOARD OF KENYA

Distribution of General Education Courses for an Engineering Programme (SAMPLE) TABLE 4

Groupings	Course	Course	Course	Student L	Student Learning Time						AUs
	apoo		ıype	Guided Learning	arning						
				Lecture	Lab/Workshop	Project	PBL/Design	Tutorial	Self- Learning	Others eg. assessment	
Applied	XXX	Subject 1	Core	28	28	1		1	XX		က
Science, matris, computer	XXXX	Subject 2	Core	28	1	3	1	28	216	11	e
	ZXXX	Subject 3	Core	28		28		,	1		e
Total AUs											
Management/Law/	, www	Subject 4	Core	42	1	1		1	ı	1	က
Accountancy	XXX	Subject 5	Core	14	uk)		28	1			m
	XWZ	Subject 6	Core	16	28	ı					e
Total AUs											

Documer	nt Title: Engin	Document Title: Engineering Accreditation Standard	tion Standa	rd					
Document Level: Standard	nt Level: ' <b>d</b>				Reference No: EAC/STD/01	Revision No.: <b>01</b>	Page: <b>115</b>	2	
Approvin	Approving Officer: Registrar/CEO	gistrar/CE0			Effective Date: 1sr AUGUST, 2024	UGUST,2024		ENGINEERS ROARD OF KENVA	
	Skills/ FGHI	Elective I	Elective						
Humanities/ Ethics	HUK	Elective II	Elective						
	IK	Elective III	Elective						
Total AUs									
Co-curriculum	BCDE	Co-curriculum	Core	Thesis					
	ЕРС	Co-curriculum	Core	Thesis				,	
Total AUs		(4)							
TOTAL AUS FOR GENERAL EDUCATIONCOURSES	AL EDUCATION	NCOURSES							

Document Title: Engineering Accreditation Stand	lard		
Document Level:	Reference No:	Revision No.:	Page:
Standard	EAC/STD/01	01	116
Approving Officer: Registrar/CEO	Effective Date: 1st	AUGUST,2024	



# TABLE 5: Courses Offered (Programme Structure) According to Semester and Total Credits (SAMPLE)

Semester	Code	Courses	Course Type	Credit	
				Engineering Courses	Non-Engineering Courses
1	XXXA	Subject 1	Core		
	XXXB	Subject 2	Core		1
	XXXC	Subject 3	Core	3	
	XXXD	Subject 4	Core	3	
	XXXE	Subject 5	Core		3
	XXXV	Subject 6	Core		3
2	XXXA	Subject 1	Core		
	XXXB	Subject 2	Core		1
	XXXC	Subject 3	Core	3	
	XXXD	Subject 4	Core	3	
	XXXE	Subject 5	Core		3
	XXXV	Subject 6	Core		3
Inter Session					
3					
4					
Inter Session					
	-	Total Credits			
1.90					

ſ			
Reference No: EAC/STD/01	Revision No.:	Page: <b>117</b>	41
Effective Date: 1st	AUGUST,2024		ENGINEERS BOARD OF KENYA
	Reference No: EAC/STD/01	Reference No: Revision No.:	Reference No: Revision No.: Page: EAC/STD/01 01 117

# TABLE 6 Distribution of Student Enrolment for all Academic Years for the Past Four (4) Years

s .	YEAR						
YEAR	Current academic year 5	Current -academic year 4	Current -academic year 3	Current academic year 2	Current academic year 1		
1 <sup>st</sup> Year							
2 <sup>nd</sup> Year							
3 <sup>rd</sup> Year							
4 <sup>th</sup> Year							
Total No. of Students Per Year							

## TABLE 7 Entry Qualification of Final Year Students of the Current Year

ENTRY QUALIFICATIONS	NUMBER
O Level (Secondary KCSE)	
A-Level	
Diploma	
Others (Please Specify)	
TOTAL	

Document Title: Engineering Accreditation S	tandard			<u> </u>
Document Level: Standard	Reference No: EAC/STD/01	Revision No.:	Page: <b>120</b>	do
Approving Officer: Registrar/CEO	Effective Date: 1 <sup>ST</sup>	AUGUST,2024		ENGINEERS BOARD OF KI

TABLE 8 Breakdown in Terms of Numbers of Academic Staff (Fulltime, Part-Time and Interprogramme) by Year for all Academic Years for the Past Five (5) Years

ACADEMIC STAFF				YEAR		
		Current academic year - 5	Current academic year - 4	Current academic year - 3	Current academic year 2	Current academic year 1
a)	Total number of full-time staff (including those servicing other programmes, staff on study or sabbatical leave)					
b)	Full-time equivalent of academic staff servicing other programmes					
c)	Academic staff (on study or sabbatical leave)					
d)	Effective full-time academic staff = (a)-(b)-(c)					
e)	Full-time equivalent of academic staff from other programmes servicing this programme					
f)	Full-time equivalent of part time academic staff					
Sta	I-Time Equivalent Academic ff (FTES) Contributing to Staff: dent Ratio = (d)+(e)+(f)					

#### Notes:

If an academic staff member is involved in teaching more than one-degree programme (including off-campus and distance learning), then the full-time equivalent of that particular staff has to be

Document Title: Engineering Accreditation Stan	dard			4
Document Level: Standard	Reference No: EAC/STD/01	Revision No.:	Page: <b>121</b>	1
Approving Officer: Registrar/CEO	Effective Date: 1st	AUGUST,2024	***	GU
				ENGINEERS BOARD O

calculated.

For full time equivalent staff calculation, the following can be used as a basis:

One Full-Time Equivalent Staff Member should normally have 15 contact hours (lecture/tutorial/lab supervision/student consultation) per week.

Document Level: Standard	Reference No: EAC/STD/01	Revision No.:	Page: <b>122</b>	1
Approving Officer: Registrar/CEO	Effective Date: 1st /	ate: 1st AUGUST,2024		

ble 9 Analysis of All Academic Staff	
ble 9 Analysis of All Academic Star	£
ble 9 Analysis of All Academic	Sta
ble 9 Analysis of All Acad	lemic
ble 9 Analysis of Al	Acad
ble 9 Analysis of	of All
ble 9 Anal	ysis
ble 9	Anal
Q	le 9
Ta	Tab

	notistainimbA	
ow, none)	Publications	
Level of Activity (high, med, low, none)	Consulting/Work in Industry	
evel of Activ	Не <del>зе</del> агсћ	
_	Professional Society (Indicate Society)	
Years of Experience	This Fac/Sch/Dept	
Years of E	Govt/Industry Practice	
	Membeship in Profes Bodies/Learned Soc	
anoite	Professional Qualifica	
	Academic Qualificationa Specialization/Instituti Year of Award	
	Date of First Appointme	
	Post Held	
	əmsM	

Document Title: Engineering Accreditation Stand	ard		
Document Level: Standard	Reference No: EAC/STD/01	Revision No.: <b>01</b>	Page: <b>123</b>
Approving Officer: Registrar/CEO	Effective Date: 1st	AUGUST,2024	

TABLE 10 Academic Qualifications of Academic Staff

Academic Qualifications	Number
Doctorate	
Masters	
Bachelor	
TOTAL	

TABLE 11 Professional Qualifications and Membership in Professional Bodies/Learned Societies of Academic Staff

Type of Qualification/Field	Number
Graduate Engineer	
Professional. Eng.	
Consulting. Eng.	
Others (please specify)	

Document Title: Engineering Accreditation Standa	nrd			
Document Level:	Reference No:	Revision No.:	Page:	
Standard	EAC/STD/01	01	124	
Approving Officer: Registrar/CEO	Effective Date: 1st	Effective Date: 1 <sup>ST</sup> AUGUST,2024		



TABLE 12 Post Held by Academic Staff

Post	Number			
	Full Time	Part Time		
Professor				
Assoc. Professor				
Senior Lecturer				
Lecturer				
Others (please specify)				
TOTAL				

Document Title: Engineering Accreditation Standard			
Document Level: Standard	Reference No: EAC/STD/01	Revision No.:	Page: <b>126</b>
Approving Officer: Registrar/CEO	Effective Date: 1st AUGUST, 2024	AUGUST, 202	4

TABLE 13

	Standard		EAC/STD/01	No.:	126	2	
	Approving Officer: Registrar/CEO	//CE0	Effective Date: 1st AUGUST, 2024	UGUST,2024		ENGINEERS BOARD OF KENYA	
13	Academic Staff Teachin	Academic Staff Teaching Workload Summary for the Current Semester	t Semester				
Staff	Staff Member (Name)	Part or Full Time or From OtherCourses Taught (Course Code/Credits*)	Courses Taught (Co	urse Code/C	redits	0	
		Programmes					
-							K
							TV

<b>Document Title: Engineering Accreditation Standard</b>				
Document Level: Standard	Reference No: EAC/STD/O1	Revision No.: <b>01</b>	Page: <b>127</b>	do:
Approving Officer: Registrar/CEO	Effective Date: 1s	AUGUST,202	24	ENGINEERS BOARD OF KENYA

# Table 14 Analysis of All Support Staff

		nent at the spt. ns/Field of ution and rd	ns/Field of ution and d	Years of Experience		
Name	Post Held	Date of First Appointment at the Fac/Sech/Dept.	Academic Qualifications/Field of Specialization/Institution and Year of Award	Govt/Industry Practice	This Fac/Sch/Dept	
·						

Document Title: Engineering Accreditation Standard				
Document Level: Standard	Reference No: EAC/STD/O1	Revision No.: <b>01</b>	Page: <b>128</b>	do!
Approving Officer: Registrar/CEO	Effective Date: 15	AUGUST,202	24	ENGINEERS BOARD OF KENYA

# TABLE 15 Post Held by Support Staff

Post	Number	
		_
	7.6	_
TOTAL		

#### TABLE 16 Staff: Student Ratio

SESSION	Current	Current	Current	Current	Current
	academic	academic	academic	academic	academic
	year - 5	year - 4	year - 3	year 2	year 1
RATIO					